# DIRECT FINITENESS OF REPRESENTABLE REGULAR ∗-RINGS. ERRATUM

#### CHRISTIAN HERRMANN

Dedicated to the memory of Susan M. Roddy

ABSTRACT. We show that a von Neumann regular ring with involution is directly finite provided that it admits a representation as a ∗-ring of endomorphisms of a vector space endowed with a non-degenerate orthosymmetric sesquilinear form.

### 0. ERRATUM

There is no proof of Thm 3 since there is no proof of  $et = er$  in Lemma 4. Actually, there is a counterexample given is the new paper: Direct finiteness of representable regular ∗-rings: A counterexample.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A  $\ast$ -ring, that is ring with involution, is called *finite* if  $rr^* = 1$  implies  $r^*r = 1$ . This is a basic notion in the classification of von Neumann algebras; in particular, as shown by Murray and von Neumann, a finite von Neumann algebra admits a finite ∗-ring of quotients. This ring is also  $\ast$ -regular and *directly finite*, that is  $rs = 1$  implies  $sr = 1$ . As Ara and Menal [1] have shown, any ∗-regular ring is at least finite, while direct finiteness remains an open question, as stated by Handelman [5, Problem 48]. The present note gives a positive answer for certain [von Neumann] regular rings with involution.

For ∗-rings, there is a natural and well established concept of [faithfull representation in a vector space  $V_F$  endowed with a non-degenerate orthosymmetric sesquilinear form: an embedding into the  $\ast$ -ring  $\mathsf{End}^*(V_F)$ of those endomorphisms of  $V_F$  which admit an adjoint. Famous examples are due to Gel'fand-Naimark-Segal (C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras in Hilbert space) and Kaplansky (primitive ∗-rings with a minimal right ideal). For

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E50, 16W10.

Key words and phrases. Regular ring with involution, representation, direct finiteness.

### 2 C. HERRMANN

∗-regular rings of classical quotients of finite Rickart C ∗ -algebras existence of representations has been established in [8], jointly with M. Semenova. N. Niemann [11, 6] has shown that a subdirectly irredcucible ∗-regular ring is representable if and only its ortholattice lattice of principal right ideals is representable within the ortholattice of closed subspaces of some  $V_F$ .

According to joint work with Susan M. Roddy [7], representability of modular ortholattices is equivalent to membership in a variety generated by finite height members. Using ideas from Tyukavkin [12], the analogue for ∗-regular rings was obtained by F. Micol [10]. Here, we rely on the presentation given in [9]: A regular ∗-ring can be represented within  $V_F$ , respectively some ultrapower thereof, if and only if it can be obtained via formation of ultraproducts, regular ∗-subrings, and homomorphic images from the class of the  $\mathsf{End}^*(U_F)$ ,  $U_F$  ranging over finite dimensional non-degenerate subspaces of  $V_F$ . It will be shown that direct finiteness is inherited under the particular construction of [9] which proves the reduction to finite dimensions.

Thanks are due to Ken Goodearl for the hint to reference [4].

## 2. Preliminaries

When mentioning rings, we always mean associative rings  $R$  with unit  $1_R$ , considered as a constant. In any ring, if a has a *left inverse* x, that is  $xa = 1$ , then a is left cancellable, that is  $ay = az$  implies  $y = z$ . R is directly finite if, for all  $r, s \in R$ ,  $sr = 1$  implies  $rs = 1$ . In such ring, a has a left inverse if and only if a is a unit (and  $x = a^{-1}$ ). The endomorphism ring  $\textsf{End}(V_F)$  of a vector space is directly finite if and only if dim  $V_F < \omega$ . A \*-ring is a ring endowed with an involution  $r \mapsto r^*$ ; an element e of such a ring is a projection, if  $e = e^2 = e^*$ .

A ring R is [von Neumann] regular if for any  $a \in R$ , there is an element  $x \in R$  such that  $axa = a$ ; such an element is called a *quasi*inverse of a. If, for all  $a, x$  can be chosen a unit, then R is unit regular. Examples of such are the  $\text{End}(V_F)$ , dim  $V_F < \omega$ . A detailed discussion of direct finiteness in regular rings is given in Goodearl [5]. A regular  $\ast$ -ring is  $\ast$ -regular if  $xx^* = 0$  only for  $x = 0$ .

In a regular ring, any left cancellable a has a left inverse; indeed  $axa = a$  implies  $xa = 1$ . If  $xa = 1$  and  $aua = a$  with a unit u then  $a = u^{-1}$  and  $x = a^{-1}$ . It follows

Fact 1. In a directly finite regular ring every left cancellable element is a unit – similarly on the right. Every unit regular ring is directly finite.

DIRECT FINITENESS 3

We recall some basic concepts and facts from [9] (here,  $\Lambda$  can be taken the  $\ast$ -ring of integers). In the sequel, F will be a division ring endowed with an involution and  $V_F$  a [right] F-vector space of dim  $V_F$ 1 endowed with a non-degenerate sesquilinear form  $\langle . \mid . \rangle$  which is *orthosymmetric*, that is  $\langle v | w \rangle = 0$  iff  $\langle w | v \rangle = 0$ . Such space will be called *pre-hermitean* and denoted by  $V_F$ , too. Within such space, any endomorphism  $\varphi$  has at most one adjoint  $\varphi^*$ ; and these  $\varphi$  form a subring of  $\mathsf{End}(V_F)$  which is a \*-ring  $\mathsf{End}^*(V_F)$  under the involution  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*.$  For  $\dim V_F < \omega,$  End $^*(V_F)$  contains all of End $(V_F).$  A [faithful] representation of a  $*$ -ring R is an embedding of R into some  $\mathsf{End}^*(V_F)$ .

Consider a linear subspace U of  $V_F$ ,  $1 < \dim U_F < \omega$ . With the induced sesquilinear form,  $U_F$  is pre-hermitean if and only if  $V = U \oplus$  $U^{\perp}$ ; in particular, there is a projection  $\pi_U \in \text{End}^*(V_F)$  such that  $U =$ im  $\pi_U$  and such that the inclusion map  $\varepsilon_U : U \to V$  is the adjoint of  $\pi_U$ (here, considerd as a map  $V \to U$ ). We write in this case  $U \in \mathbb{O}(V_F)$ and say that U is a *finite-dimensional orthogonal summand*. A crucial fact is that  $V_F$  is the directed union of the  $U_F$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{O}(V_F)$ . Let  $C(F)$ denote the center of F and, for  $U \in \mathbb{O}(V_F)$ ,

$$
B_U = \{ \varepsilon_U \varphi \pi_U + \lambda \operatorname{id}_V \mid \varphi \in \operatorname{End}^*(U_F), \ \lambda \in C(F) \} = \{ \psi \in \operatorname{End}(V_F) \mid \psi(U) \subseteq U \ \& \ \exists \lambda \in C(F) \ \psi | U^{\perp} = \lambda \operatorname{id}_{U^{\perp}} \}
$$

 $(\varphi \text{ and } \psi \text{ are related via } \varphi(v) = \psi(v) + \lambda v)$ . Thus,  $B_U$  is a \*-subring of  $\text{End}^*(V_F)$  and embeds into  $\text{End}^*(W_F)$  for any  $W \in \mathbb{O}(V_F)$ ,  $U \subset W \neq U$ . In particular,  $B_U$  is directly finite. Moreover,  $B_U$  is unit regular; indeed,  $\chi \in B_U$  is a unit quasi-inverse of  $\psi \in B_U$  if  $\chi |U$  is one of  $\psi |U$  and  $\chi|U^{\perp} = (\psi|U^{\perp})^{-1}$  (considering these as endomorphisms of U and  $U^{\perp}$ , respectively). We put

$$
J(V_F) = \{ \varphi \in \text{End}^*(V_F) \mid \dim \text{im } \varphi < \omega \}
$$
  

$$
\hat{J}(V_F) = \{ \varphi + \lambda \text{ id}_V \mid \varphi \in J(V_F), \lambda \in C(F) \}
$$

According to [9, Proposition 4.4]  $\hat{J}(V_F)$  is a \*-subring of  $\textsf{End}^*(V_F)$  and  $J(V_F)$  is an ideal of  $\textsf{End}^*(V_F)$  closed under the involution. Also, the following holds.

(\*) For any finite  $\Phi \subseteq J(V_F)$  there is  $U \in \mathbb{O}(V_F)$  such that  $\varphi =$  $\pi_U \varphi = \varphi \pi_U$  for all  $\varphi \in \Phi$ .

Thus,  $\hat{J}(V_F)$  is the directed union of the  $B_U$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{O}(V_F)$ , whence unitregular.

**Lemma 2.** Every regular  $*$ -subring R of  $\text{End}^*(V_F)$  extends to a regular  $*$ -subring  $\hat{R}$  of  $\mathsf{End}^*(V_F)$  containing  $\hat{J}(V_F)$  and such that  $J(V_F)$  is an ideal of  $\hat{R}$ .

*Proof.*  $\{\lambda \varphi \mid \varphi \in R, \lambda \in C(F)\}\$ is a regular \*-subring R' of  $\text{End}^*(V_F)$ and [9, Proposition 4.5] applies to  $R'$ . . В последните последните се од 1999 година, на селото на 1999 година, кои 1999 година, кои 1999 година, кои 1<br>В 1999 година, кои 1999 г

Recall that a [faithful] representation of a  $\ast$ -ring R within a prehermitian space  $V_F$  is an embedding  $\varepsilon: R \to \mathsf{End}^*(V_F)$ . It is convenient to consider representations as unitary  $R-F$ -bimodules  $\frac{R}{F}$  (where the action of R is given as  $rv = \varepsilon(r)(v)$  with sesquilinear form on  $V_F$ ; that is, a 3-sorted structure with sorts  $V, R$ , and  $F$ . Considering a  $*$ -subring  $A$  of  $R$  we may add a fourth sort,  $A$ , and the embedding map. to obtain  $({}_{R}V_{F};A)$ . Any elementary extension  $({}_{\tilde{R}}\tilde{V}_{\tilde{F}};\tilde{A})$  is again such a structure, that is, a representation of  $\tilde{R}$  and a  $\ast$ -ring  $\tilde{A}$  which may be considered as  $*$ -subring of R. It is a *modestly saturated* extension if, for each set  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  of first order formulas in finitely many [sorted] variables and with parameters from  $({}_RV_F; A)$ , one has  $({}_R\tilde{V}_F; \tilde{A}) \models \exists \bar{x} . \Sigma(\bar{x})$ , provided that  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$  is finitely realized in  $({}_RV_F; A)$ , that is  $({}_RV_F; A) \models \exists \bar{x}.\Psi(\bar{x})$  for every finite subset  $\Psi(\bar{x})$  of  $\Sigma(\bar{x})$ . Such extension always exists, cf. [2, Corollary 4.3.1.4].

### 3. Main result

### Theorem 3. Every representable regular ∗-ring is directly finite.

*Proof.* We recall the relevant steps of the proof of [9, Theorem 10.1]. Given a representation  $\mathbb{R}V_F$  of the regular  $*$ -ring R, we may assume that dim  $V_F \geq \omega$ . In view of Proposition 2, we also may assume that R is a ∗-subring of  $\mathsf{End}^*(V_F)$  containing  $A = \hat{J}(V_F)$  and having ideal  $J(V_F)$ . Choose  $({}_{\tilde R}\tilde V_{\tilde F}; \tilde A)$  a modestly saturated elementary extension of  $(_RV_F; A)$ .

Let  $J_0$  denote the set of projections in  $J(V_F)$ . For  $a \in \overline{A}$  and  $r \in R$ , we put  $a \sim r$  if  $ae = re$  and  $a^*e = r^*e$  for all  $e \in J_0$ . According to Claims 1–4 in the proof of [9, Theorem 10.1],  $S = \{a \in \hat{A} \mid a \sim \}$ r for some  $r \in R$  is a regular  $\ast$ -subring of  $\hat{A}$  and there is a surjective homomorphism  $q : S \to R$  such that  $q(a) = r$  if and only if  $a \sim r$ .

Being an elementary extension of  $A$ ,  $A$  is directly finite and so is its subring S. Now, assume  $sr = 1$  in R. Consider a finite set  $E \subseteq J_0$ . According to (\*), there is  $e \in J_0$  such that  $ef = f$  and  $er^* f = r^* f$ for all  $f \in E$ . Take  $a = re$  and observe that  $af = ref = rf$  and  $a^* f = er^* f = r^* f$  for all  $f \in E$ . Thus, the set

$$
\Sigma(x) = \{ [xe = re] \& [x^*e = r^*e] \& [\exists y, yx = 1] \mid e \in J_0 \}
$$

of formulas with a free variables  $x, y$  of type A and R, respectively, is finitely realized in  $({}_R V_F; A)$ . Indeed, given a finite subset  $\Psi$  of  $\Sigma(x)$ there is finite  $E \subseteq J_0$  containing all  $f \in J_0$  which occur in  $\Sigma(x)$ ; choose

e for E as above, g, t, u according to Lemma 4 below, and  $x = t+1-q$ ,  $y = u + 1 - g$ .

By saturation, there are  $a \in \tilde{A}$  and  $b \in \tilde{R}$  with  $ba = 1$  and  $a \sim r$ , whence  $a \in S$  and  $q(a) = r$ . Moreover, a is left cancellable in  $\tilde{R}$  whence in the subring S and so a unit of S by regularity. Hence,  $r = g(a)$  is a unit of R and  $s = r^{-1}$  whence  $rs = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.** Consider a regular ring R with ideal I such that each  $eRe$ ,  $e \in I$ , is unit-regular. Then for any  $r, s \in R$  with  $sr = 1$  and idempotent  $e \in I$  there are an idempotent  $q \in I$ ,  $e \in qRq$ , and  $t, u \in qRq$ such that  $ut = q$ ,  $te = re$ , and  $et = er$ .

*Proof.* Following [3] we consider R the endomorphism ring of a (right) R-module, namely  $M_R = R_R$ . Observe that r is an injective endomorphism of  $M_R$ . Let  $U = \text{im } e$ ,  $W_1 = U + r^{-1}(U)$ ,  $W_2 = r(W_1)$ ; in a particular, these are submodules of  $M_R$  and  $r|W_1$  is an isomorphism of  $W_1$  onto  $W_2$ . By (the proof of) [4, Lemma 2] there is an idempotent  $g \in I$  such that  $e, re, se \in S := gRg$ . Put  $W = \text{im } g$  which is a submodule of  $M_R$ , and an S-module under the induced action of S, so that  $S = \text{End}(W_S) = \text{End}(W_R)$ .

By hypothesis,  $S$  is unit-regular whence, in particular, directly finite. Due to regularity of S, for any  $h \in S$  and S-linear map  $\phi : hS \to W$ there is an extension  $\overline{\phi} \in S$ , namely  $\overline{\phi} |(g-h)S = 0$ . Due to direct finiteness, any injective such  $\phi$  has an inverse in S. Also, by regularity, the submodules  $W_1 = \text{im } e + \text{im } se$  and  $W_2 = \text{im } e + \text{im } re$  are of the form  $W_i = \text{im } g_i$  with idempotents  $g_i \in S$ .

Let  $X_i = \text{im}(g - g_i)$  whence  $W = W_i \oplus X_i$ . Since  $r|W_1 : W_1 \to W_2$ is an S-linear isomorphism, according to [3, Theorem 3] there is an S-linear isomorphism  $\varepsilon : X_1 \to X_2$ . Put  $\delta(v) = \varepsilon(v) + g_2(r(v))$  for  $v \in X_1$ . If  $\delta(v) = w \in W_2$  then  $\varepsilon(v) \in W_2 \cap X_2$  whence  $\varepsilon(v) = 0$  and  $v = 0$ ; it follows that  $\delta$  is an S-linear isomorphism of  $X_1$  onto  $Y \subseteq W$ where  $Y \cap W_2 = 0$ . Also,  $g_2(\delta(v)) = g_2(r(v))$  since  $g_2(X_2) = 0$ . Define  $t \in S$  as  $t(v + w) = r(v) + \delta(w)$  for  $v \in W_1$  and  $w \in X_1$ . t is injective whence it has inverse  $u$  in  $S$ .

An example of a simple regular ∗-ring which is not finite is obtained as follows: Let  $V_F$  a vector space of countably infinite dimension, and  $R = \text{End}(V_F)/J(V_F)$ . Of course, R is not directly finite. Define the involution on the direct product  $R \times R^{op}$  by exchange:  $(r, s)^* = (s, r)$ to obtain the ∗-ring S. Now, if  $rs = 1$  but  $sr \neq 1$  then  $xx^* = 1$  but  $x^*x \neq 1$  in S for  $x = (r, s)$ .

Problem 1. Is every simple directly finite ∗-regular ring representable?

#### 6 C. HERRMANN

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Ara, P., Menal, P.: On regular rings with involution. Arch. Math. 42, 126–130 (1984)
- [2] Chang, C.C., H. J. Keisler, H.J.: Model Theory. Third ed., Amsterdam (1990)
- [3] Ehrlich, G.: Units and one-sided units in regular rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 216 (1976), 81–90.
- [4] C. Faith and Y. Utumi, On a new proof of Litoff's theorem, Acta Math.Acad. Sci Hungar. 14 (1963), 369–371.
- [5] Goodearl, K.R.: Von Neumann Regular Rings. Krieger, Malabar (1991)
- [6] Herrmannn, C., Niemann, N.: On linear representations of ∗-regular rings having representable ortholattice of projections. arXiv:1811.01392 [math.RA]. https://arxiv.org.abs/1811.01392
- [7] Herrmann, C., Roddy, M.S.: On varieties of modular ortholattices that are generated by their finite dimensional members. Algebra Universalis 72, 349– 357 (2014)
- [8] Herrmann, C., Semenova, M.: Rings of quotients of finite AW<sup>∗</sup>-algebras: Representation and algebraic approximation. Algebra and Logic 53, 298–322 (2014)
- [9] Herrmann, C., Semenova, M.: Linear representations of regular rings and complemented modular lattices with involution. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 82, 395– 442 (2016)
- [10] Micol, F.: On Representability of ∗-Regular Rings and Modular Ortholattices. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt (2003). http://elib. tu-darmstadt.de/diss/000303/diss.pdf
- [11] Niemann, N.: On representability of ∗-regular rings in endomorphism rings of vector spaces. PhD thesis Technische Universität Darmstadt (2007)
- [12] Tyukavkin, D.V.: Regular rings with involution. Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta. Matematika 39, 29–32 (1984) (Russian)

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT FB4, SCHLOSSGARTENSTR. 7, 64289 DARMSTADT, GERMANY

E-mail address: herrmann@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de