

# On $n$ -distributive modular ortholattices.

Christian Herrmann, Florence Micol and Michael S. Roddy

In [5] the third author has constructed a 3-generated finitely presented modular ortholattice with unsolvable word problem and indicated how to modify the proof in order to obtain the following

**Theorem 1** *For any  $n \geq 14$ , the free algebra on 3 generators in the variety  $\mathcal{D}_n$  of  $n$ -distributive modular ortholattices has an unsolvable word problem.*

In this note we shall provide a proof via a structural result on algebras in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  having a spanning  $n$ -frame. Recall that a lattice is  $n$ -distributive if it satisfies the equation

$$x_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_0 \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j} x_i$$

Let  $n \geq 2$  in the sequel. Elements  $c_0, \dots, c_n$  form an  $n$ -frame if for all  $j \neq k$  and all  $l$

$$c_j \leq \sum_{i \neq j} c_i, \quad c_j \sum_{i \neq j, k} c_i \leq c_l$$

The frame is spanning if  $\sum_i c_i = 1$  and  $\prod_i c_i = 0$ , trivial if  $c_i = c_j$  for all  $i, j$ , and orthogonal if  $c_j \leq c_k'$  for all  $1 \leq j \neq k \leq n$ . In a modular lattice, the elements  $c_i$  ( $i \neq j$ ) of a nontrivial  $n$ -frame are the atoms of a boolean sublattice. For the following see Huhn [3] and Mayet and Roddy [4]: A modular (ortho)lattice is  $n$ -distributive, if and only if it does contain only trivial (orthogonal)  $n+1$ -frames. The subdirectly irreducibles in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  are irreducible, at most  $n-1$ -dimensional projective geometries; in particular, they are simple.

**Theorem 2** *Within  $\mathcal{D}_n$  every finitely presented algebra containing a spanning  $n$ -frame is a direct factor of a free algebra on the same number of generators.*

A convenient way to deal with intervals in modular ortholattices and to turn factors in subalgebras is to consider relative modular ortholattices: these are modular lattices with a ternary operation  $k(x, y, z)$  such that  $k(x, b, c)$  lies in  $[bc, b + c]$  and within this interval  $x \mapsto k(x, b, c)$  defines an orthocomplementation. Moreover, if  $uv \leq bc$  and  $b + c \leq u + v$  holds, then we require

$$k(x, b, c) = (k(x, u, v) + bc)(b + c)$$

It is easily seen that these form a variety. From each modular ortholattice  $L$  we derive its relativization  $L^*$  as the underlying lattice together with

$$k(a, b, c) := (a' + bc)(b + c)$$

and observe, that each homomorphism  $\phi : L \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism  $\phi : L^* \rightarrow M^*$  as well. Conversely, any relative modular ortholattice with 0 and 1, e.g. any finitely generated one, can be obtained as an  $L^*$ . For such, a direct product  $L_0 \times L_1$  can be understood as a direct sum of the subalgebras  $L_0 \times \{0\}$  and  $\{0\} \times L_1$ .

Also, with each variety  $\mathcal{V}$  of modular ortholattices one has the variety  $\mathcal{V}^*$  of relative modular ortholattices the finitely generated members of which are the  $L^*$  where  $L$  is finitely generated in  $\mathcal{V}$ . From this we get, immediately,

**Proposition 3** *For a free algebra  $F$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  with finite generating set  $X$ , the subalgebra generated by  $X$  in  $F^*$  is free in  $\mathcal{V}^*$ .*

Now, Thm.2 extends to  $\mathcal{D}_n^*$ , obviously, and we may convert factors into subalgebras to obtain

**Corollary 4** *In  $\mathcal{D}_n^*$ , finitely presented algebras containing a spanning  $n$ -frame are projective.*

In order to prove the theorems we shall associate central elements with finitely generated congruences. Recall, that an element  $u$  in a modular ortholattice  $L$  is central, if and only if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions: the sublattice generated by  $u, x, y$  is distributive for all  $x, y \in L$ ; the map  $x \mapsto ux$  resp.  $x \mapsto u + x$  is a lattice endomorphism (and an endomorphism of  $L^*$ );  $L$  is isomorphic to  $L_0 \times L_1$  with  $u \mapsto (0, 1)$  (and  $u' \mapsto (1, 0)$ ); for some/every subdirect decomposition  $\phi_i : L \rightarrow M_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) into subdirectly irreducibles we have  $\phi_i u \in \{0_i, 1_i\}$  for all  $i$ . Then, the kernel of the projection of  $L$  onto  $L_0$  is the smallest congruence identifying  $u$  with 0. Also,  $L_0 \cong [u, 1] \cong [0, u']$ .

To make use of the spanning frame we consider the variety  $\mathcal{D}_n^+$  of  $n$ -distributive modular ortholattices  $L$  with constants  $c_0, \dots, c_n$  forming a spanning  $n$ -frame of  $L$ .

**Lemma 5** In  $\mathcal{D}_n^+$  there is a binary term  $d(x, y)$  such that

$$d(a, b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = b \\ 1 & \text{if } a \neq b \end{cases}$$

holds in any subdirectly irreducible member of  $\mathcal{D}_n^+$ .

*Proof.* We first show that for each  $n$  there is a lattice term  $t_n(x, x_0, \dots, x_n)$  such that for every  $n - 1$ -dimensional projective geometry  $L$ , spanning  $n$ -frame  $c_0, \dots, c_n$ , and  $a \in L$  one has

$$t_n(a, c_0, \dots, c_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } a \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

This is done by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 2$  the  $c_i$  are distinct atoms in a height 2 lattice. Thus, we define

$$t_2(x, x_0, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j \neq k} (x + x_j)(x + x_k).$$

In the step from  $n - 1$  to  $n$  observe that the  $c_i$  are atoms, the  $\sum_{i \neq 0, k} c_i$  are dual atoms of  $L$ , and for  $k \geq 1$

$$(c_0 + c_k) \sum_{1 \leq i \neq k} c_i, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n$$

is a spanning  $n - 1$ -frame of the interval  $[0, \sum_{i \neq 0, k} c_i]$  of  $L$ . With this in mind we define

$$t_n(x, x_0, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n (x + s_n^k) + \sum_{k=1}^n t_{n-1}^k + (t_{n-1}^k + x_0)x_k$$

where

$$s_n^k = \sum_{i \neq 0, k} x_i$$

$$t_{n-1}^k = t_{n-1}(x s_n^k, (x_0 + x_k) s_n^k, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

Now, observe that  $ab' + ba' = 0$  if and only if  $a = b$  and let

$$d(x, y) = t_n(xy' + yx', c_0, \dots, c_n) \quad \square$$

**Corollary 6**  $\mathcal{D}_n^+$  is a discriminator variety.

*Proof.* The discriminator is given by  $t(x, y, z) = z \cdot d(x, y)' + x \cdot d(x, y)$ .  $\square$   
It follows that finitely generated congruences have complements (Fried and Kiss [2]) and that the word problem for a finitely presented algebra reduces to the word problem for the free algebra with the same number of generators (Blok and Pigozzi [1]). We will provide central elements for both purposes.

**Lemma 7** *In  $\mathcal{D}_n^+$ , for each finitely generated congruence  $\theta$  there is a central element  $v$  such that  $\theta$  is the smallest congruence identifying  $v$  with 0.*

*Proof.* If  $\theta$  is the smallest congruence identifying  $a^j$  with  $b^j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  then we define  $v$  as

$$v = \sum_j d(a^j, b^j)$$

By the property of  $d$  given in Lemma 7 we have  $v \theta 0$ . In a decomposition  $\phi_i : L \rightarrow M_i$  into subdirectly irreducibles we have  $\phi_i v = 0$  if  $\phi_i a^j = \phi_i b^j$  for all  $j$  and  $\phi_i v = 1$ , else. Thus,  $L \cong L_0 \times L_1$  with  $v \mapsto (0, 1)$  where  $L_0$  is the (induced) subdirect product of the first,  $L_1$  of the second  $M_i$ 's. It follows, that  $\theta$  is contained in the kernel of the projection onto  $L_0$ . This congruence is generated by the pair  $0, v$  whence equal to  $\theta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8** *For each  $L$  in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  and  $n$ -frame  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  in  $L$  there is a central element  $u$  such that for any homomorphism  $\phi$  of  $L$  onto  $M$  one has  $\phi a_0, \dots, \phi a_n$  a spanning frame of  $M$  if and only if  $\phi u = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u = (\prod a_i)' \sum a_i$  and notice the isomorphism of  $[\prod a_i, \sum a_i]$  onto  $[0, u]$ . Then  $\phi u = 0$  if and only if the frame  $\phi a_0, \dots, \phi a_n$  is trivial. Otherwise, the interval  $[0, \phi u]$  has height at least  $n$ . Now, consider  $\phi$  such that  $M$  is subdirectly irreducible, whence of height at most  $n$ . Then either  $\phi u = 0$  or  $\phi u = 1$  which amounts to a spanning frame  $\phi a_0, \dots, \phi a_n$  of  $M$ . In particular,  $u$  is central and the claim follows for all  $\phi$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9** *Suppose,  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is a surjective homomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  with finitely generated kernel and  $B$  contains a spanning  $n$ -frame. Then there is a central element  $w \in A$  such that  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism of the interval subalgebra  $[0, w]$  of  $A^*$  onto  $B^*$ .*

*Proof.* According to Huhn [3], from the spanning  $n$ -frame  $b_i$  of  $B$ , one can construct a frame  $a_i$  in  $A$  with  $\phi(a_i) = b_i$ . By Lemma 8 there is a central element  $u$  of  $A$  such that  $\phi u = 1$ . Let  $C$  be the interval subalgebra  $[0, u]$  of  $A^*$ . Then  $\phi(C) = B$ , the map  $u \mapsto ux$  is an endomorphism of  $A^*$ , and

the  $ua_i$  form a spanning frame of  $C$ . Moreover, the kernel of  $\phi|_C$  is finitely generated (by the pairs  $us, ut$  where  $s, t$  is a generating pair for  $\phi$ ). Choose the central element  $v$  of  $C$  corresponding to this congruence according to Lemma 5. Then  $w = v'$  is central in  $C$ , whence in  $A$  and  $[0, w]$  is mapped isomorphically onto  $B$  under  $\phi$ .  $\square$  Thm.2 follows, immediately.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* In [5] there has been constructed for each  $n \geq 14$  a finite presentation  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  with unsolvable word problem such that  $A$  is free on 3 generators and  $B$  contains a spanning  $n$ -frame - use Lemma 2.7.2 to add dimensions exceeding 14. Applying Lemma 9 we get a central element  $w \in A$ . The relativization  $t^w$  of terms is defined, inductively:  $x^w = xw$ ,  $(s+t)^w = s^w + t^w$ ,  $(st)^w = s^w t^w$ ,  $(t')^w = w(t^w)'$ . Now,  $\phi\pi s = \phi\pi t$  if and only if  $\pi s^w = \pi t^w$  where  $\pi$  is the canonical homomorphism of the term algebra onto  $A$ . This reduces the word problem for  $B$  to that for  $A$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] W.J. Blok and D. Pigozzi, Varieties with equationally definable principal congruences, *Algebra Universalis* **15** (1982), 195-227
- [2] E. Fried and E.W. Kiss, Connections between congruence lattices and polynomial properties, *Algebra Universalis* **17** (1983), 227-262
- [3] A.P. Huhn, Schwach distributive Verbände I, *Acta Sci. Math.* **33** (1972), 297-305
- [4] R. Mayet and M.S. Roddy,  $n$ -Distributivity in ortholattices, in: J. Czermak et al. (eds.), *Contributions to General Algebra* **5**, p. 285-294, Wien 1987
- [5] M.S. Roddy, On the word problem for orthocomplemented modular lattices, *Can. J. Math.* **41** (1989), 961-1004

*FB4 AG14 TU Darmstadt  
D64289 Darmstadt, Germany*

*Dept. of Mathematics, Brandon University  
Brandon, Manitoba, Canada R7A 6A9*