ON THE EQUATIONAL THEORY OF PROJECTION LATTICES OF FINITE VON-NEUMANN FACTORS

CHRISTIAN HERRMANN

Abstract. For a finite von-Neumann algebra factor \mathbf{M} , the projections form a modular ortholattice $L(\mathbf{M})$. We show that the equational theory of $L(\mathbf{M})$ coincides with that of some resp. all $L(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ and is decidable. In contrast, the uniform word problem for the variety generated by all $L(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ is shown to be undecidable.

§1. Introduction. Projection lattices $L(\mathbf{M})$ of finite von-Neumann algebra factors \mathbf{M} are continuous orthocomplemented modular lattices and have been considered as logics resp. geometries of quantum meachnics cf. [25]. In the finite dimensional case, the correspondence between irreducible lattices and algebras, to wit the matrix rings $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, has been completely clarified by Birkhoff and von Neumann [5]. Combining this with Tarski's [27] decidability result for the reals and elementary geometry, decidability of the first order theory of $L(\mathbf{M})$ for a finite dimensional factor \mathbf{M} has been observed by Dunn, Hagge, Moss, and Wang [7].

The infinite dimensional case has been studied by von Neumann and Murray in the landmark series of papers on 'Rings of Operators' [23], von Neumann's lectures on 'Continuous Geometry' [28], and in the treatment of traces resp. transition probabilities in a ring resp. lattice-theoretic framework [20, 29].

The key to an algebraic treatment is the coordinatization of $L(\mathbf{M})$ by a *regular ring $U(\mathbf{M})$ derived from \mathbf{M} and having the same projections: $L(\mathbf{M})$ is isomorphic to the lattice of principal right ideals of $U(\mathbf{M})$ (cf. [8] for a thorough discussion of coordinatization theory). For finite factors this has been achieved in [23], more generally for finite AW*-algebras and certain Baer-*-rings by Berberian in [2, 3].

In the present note we show that the equational theory of $L(\mathbf{M})$ coincides with that of $L(\mathbb{C}^{n\times n})$ if $L(\mathbf{M})$ is n + 1- but not *n*-distributive for some *n*; and with that of all $L(\mathbb{C}^{n\times n})$, $n < \infty$, otherwise - which applies to the type II₁ factors. In the latter case, the equational theory is decidable, but the theory of quasi-identities is not.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 06C20, 16E50, 16W10, 46L10.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Von Neumann algebra, projection lattice, continuous geometry, equational theory .

^{© 0000,} Association for Symbolic Logic 0022-4812/00/0000-0000/\$00.00

The author is deeply obliged to Luca Giudici for manifold contributions, discussions, and encouragement.

§2. Modular ortholattices: Equations and representations. An algebraic structure $(L, \cdot, +, ', 0, 1)$ is an *ortholattice* (shortly OL) if there is a partial order \leq on L such that, for all $a, b \in L$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $a \cdot b = ab = \inf\{a, b\}$, $a + b = \sup\{a, b\}$, a'' = a, and $a \leq b$ iff $b' \leq a'$. It is a *modular ortholattice* (shortly: MOL) if, in addition, $a \geq b$ implies a(b + c) = b + ac. One can define this class by a finite set of equations, easily ([4, 5]).

If V is a unitary space then the subspaces of finite dimensions together with their orthogonal complements form an MOL L(V) - a sublattice of the lattice of all subspaces. For V of finite dimension n, we have $L(V) \cong L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for \mathbb{C}^n endowed with the canonical scalar product. A lattice is *n*-distributive if and only if it satsifies

$$x\sum_{i=0}^{n} y_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x\sum_{j\neq i} y_j.$$

LEMMA 2.1. $L(\mathbb{C}^k)$ is n-distributive if and only if $k \leq n$.

PROOF. Huhn [18, p. 304] cf. [13].

For a class C of algebraic structures, e.g. ortholattices, let VC denote the smallest equationally definable class (variety) containing C cf. [6]. By Tarski's version of Birkhoff's Theorem, VC = HSPC where HC, SC, and PC denote the classes of all homomomorphic images, subalgebras, and direct products, resp., of members of C. Define

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathsf{V}\{L(\mathbb{C}^k) \mid k < \infty\}.$$

Clearly, $L(\mathbb{C}^k) \in \mathsf{SH}L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for $k \leq n$. Within the variety of MOLs, each ortholattice identity is equivalent to an identity t = 0 (namely, a = b if and only if a(ab)' + b(ab)' = 0). If L is an MOL and $u \in L$ then the section [0, u] is naturally an MOL with orthocomplement $x \mapsto x^u = x'u$.

LEMMA 2.2. An ortholattice identity t = 0 with m occurences of variables holds in a given atomic MOL L if any only if it holds in all sections [0, u] of L with dim $u \leq m$.

PROOF. As usual, we write \overline{x} for sequences (x_1, \ldots, x_n) with n varying according to the context. We show by induction on complexity: if $f(\overline{x})$ is a lattice term with each variable occuring exactly once and if p is an atom of L and a_i in L with $p \leq f(\overline{a})$ in L then there are $p_i \leq a_i$ in L which are atoms or 0 such that $p \leq f(\overline{p})$. Indeed, if $f = x_1$ let $p_1 = p$. Now, let $\overline{x} = \overline{y} \overline{z}$ and $\overline{a} = \overline{b} \overline{c}$, accordingly. If $f(\overline{x}) = f_1(\overline{y}) \cdot f_2(\overline{z})$ then $p \leq f_1(\overline{b})$ and $p \leq f_2(\overline{c})$ and we may choose the $q_i \leq b_i$ and and $r_j \leq c_j$ by inductive hypothesis and put $\overline{p} = \overline{q} \overline{r}$. On the other hand, consider $f(\overline{x}) = f_1(\overline{y}) + f_2(\overline{z})$. If $f_2(\overline{c}) = 0$ then $p \leq f_1(\overline{b})$ and we may choose $q_i \leq b_i$ by induction and $r_j = 0$. Similarly, if $f_1(\overline{b}) = 0$. Otherwise, there are atoms p^i such that $p^1 \leq f_1(\overline{b})$, $p^2 \leq f_2(\overline{c})$ and $p \leq p^1 + p^2$ (cf. [1]). Applying the inductive hypothesis, we may choose $q_i \leq b_i$ and $r_j \leq c_j$, atoms or 0, such that $p^1 \leq f_1(\overline{q})$ and $p^2 \leq f_2(\overline{r})$ whence $p \leq f(\overline{p})$ where $\overline{p} = \overline{q}\overline{r}$.

2

-

Now, consider an identity $t(\overline{x}) = 0$. By de Morgan's laws, we may assume that t is in so called negation normal form, i.e. there is a lattice term $f(\overline{y}\,\overline{z})$ with each variable occuring exactly once from which $t(\overline{x})$ arises substituting the variable $x_{\alpha i}$ for y_i , the negated variable $x'_{\beta j}$ for z_j (with suitable functions α and β).

Assume $t(\overline{a}) > 0$ in *L*. Since *L* is atomic, there is an atom *p* with $p \leq t(\overline{a})$. With $b_i = a_{\alpha i}$ and $c_j = a'_{\beta j}$ one obtains $t(\overline{a}) = f(\overline{b} \overline{c})$. As shown above, there are $q_i \leq b_i$ and $r_j \leq c_j$ such that $p \leq f(\overline{q} \overline{r})$. Put

$$u_k = \sum_{\alpha i = k} q_i, \ v_k = \sum_{\beta j = k} r_j, \ w = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k + v_k.$$

Then $u_k \leq a_k \leq w$ and $v_k \leq a'_k \leq w$. Thus, $a'_k \leq u'_k$ and $v_k \leq u^w_k$. For the MOL [0, w] it follows by monotonicity that $0 . <math>\dashv$

A unitary representation of an MOL L is a 0-lattice embedding $\varepsilon : L \to L(V)$ into the lattice of all subspaces of a unitary space such that

$$\varepsilon(a') = \varepsilon(a)^{\perp}$$
 for all $a \in L$.

This means that ε is an embedding of the ortholattice L into the orthostable lattice associated with the unitary space V in the sense of Herbert Gross [10].

COROLLARY 2.3. $L \in \mathcal{N}$ for any MOL admitting a unitary representation.

PROOF. By [14, Thm.2.1]) L embeds into an atomic MOL \hat{L} such that the sections [0, u], dim $u < \infty$ are subspace ortholattices of finite dimensional unitary spaces (namely, if L is represented in V then \hat{L} consists of all closed subspaces X such that dim $[X \cap \varepsilon a, X + \varepsilon a] < \infty$ for some $a \in L$). By Lemma 2.2, \hat{L} whence also L belong to the variety \mathcal{N} generated by these.

COROLLARY 2.4. $\mathcal{N} = VL(V)$ for any unitary space of infinite dimension.

§3. Regular rings with positive involution. An associative ring (with or without unit) R is (von Neumann) regular if for any $a \in R$ there is a quasi-inverse $x \in R$ such that axa = a cf. [28, 22, 9]. A *-ring is a ring with an involution * as additional operation:

$$(x+y)^* = x^* + y^*, \ (xy)^* = y^*x^*, \ x^{**} = x.$$

A *-ring is *-regular if it is regular and, moreover, positive: $xx^* = 0$ only for x = 0. Equivalently, for any $a \in R$ there is a (unique) projection e (i.e. $e = e^* = e^2$) such that aR = eR. Particular examples are the rings $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of all complex $n \times n$ -matrices with r^* the adjoint matrix, i.e. the transpose of the conjugate.

The projections of a *-regular ring with unit form a modular ortholattice L(R) where $e \leq f \Leftrightarrow e = ef$ and e' = 1 - e. Now, $e \mapsto eR$ is an isomorphism of L(R) onto the ortholattice of principal right ideals of R and we may use the same notation for both. Observe that $L(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong L(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$, canonically, where a subspace X corresponds to the set of all matrices with columns in X cf. the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. (Giudici). Let M be a right module over a ring S and let R be a regular subring of the endomorphism ring $End(M_S)$. Then L(R) embeds into the lattice of submodules of M_S via $\varepsilon(\phi R) = Im\phi$.

PROOF. This is (1) in the proof of [8, Thm.4.2.1] in the thesis of Luca Giudici, cf. [15, Prop.9.1]. \dashv

COROLLARY 3.2. If R and S are *-regular rings, R a *-subring of S, then L(R) is a sub-OL of L(S).

PROOF. R embeds into $\operatorname{End}S_S$ via $r \mapsto \hat{r}$ where $\hat{r}(x) = rx$ for $x \in S$. By Prop.3.1 this yields an embedding of L(R) into L(S) with $eR \mapsto \operatorname{Im}\hat{e} = eS$ for $e \in L(R)$. Since e' = 1 - e in both OLs, we have L(R) a sub-OL of L(S). \dashv

COROLLARY 3.3. For any *-regular ring S,

 $VL(S) = V\{L(R) \mid R \text{ at most countable, regular } *-subring of S\}$

PROOF. ' \supseteq ' follows from Cor.3.2. Conversely, L(S) belongs to the variety generated by its finitely generated sub-OLs L. Endow S with a unary operation \mathfrak{q} such that $a\mathfrak{q}(a)a = a$ for all a in S. Now, for any such L there is an at most countable *-subring R of S containing L and also closed under the operation \mathfrak{q} . Observe that for $e, f \in L(R)$ one has $e \leq f$ if and only if ef = e, i.e. $e \leq f$ in L(S). Thus L is also a sublattice of L(R): assume we have join $e \vee f = g$ in L and $h \in L(R)$ with $h \geq e, f$ in L(R). Then $h \geq g$ in L(S) whence $h \geq g$ which means $e \vee f = g$ also in L(R). Similarly for meets. Also, since L is closed under the orthocomplement $e \mapsto 1 - e$ in L(S), the same is true in L(R). It follows, that L is a sub-OL of L(R).

Let V be a unitary space. Denote by ϕ^* the adjoint of ϕ - if it exists. A *unitary representation* of a *-ring R is a ring embedding $\iota : R \to \text{End}(V)$ such that $\iota(r^*) = \iota(r)^*$ for any $r \in R$.

COROLLARY 3.4. If $\iota : R \to End(V)$ is a unitary representation of the *regular ring R, then

$$\varepsilon(eR) = Im\iota(e)$$

is a unitary representation of the MOL L(R) in V.

PROOF. The lattice embedding follows from Prop.3.1. Now, observe that

$$\varepsilon(eR)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{id} - \iota(e)) = \varepsilon((1-e)R) = \varepsilon(eR)')$$

since e and $\iota(e)$ are selfadjoint idempotents.

Η

§4. Finite von-Neumann algebras. A von-Neumann algebra (cf. [17]) **M** is an unital involutive \mathbb{C} -subalgebra of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of all bounded operators of a separable Hilbert space H with $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}''$ where $\mathbf{A}' = \{\phi \in \mathcal{B}(H) \mid \phi\psi = \psi\phi \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbf{A}\}$ is the commutant of **A**. **M** is finite if $rr^* = 1$ implies $r^*r = 1$. For such, the projections e of **M**, i.e. the $e = e^2 = e^*$, form a (continuous) modular ortholattice $L(\mathbf{M})$. Here, the order is given by $e \leq f \Leftrightarrow e = ef$ and one has e' = 1 - e. A finite von-Neumann algebra is a factor if its center is $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$. Particular examples of a finite factors are the algebras $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of all complex n-by-n-matrices.

THEOREM 4.1. (Murray-von-Neumann.) Any finite von-Neumann algebra factor is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ for some $n < \infty$ (type I_n) or contains for any $n < \infty$ a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ (type II₁).

4

PROOF. [23, 14.1] and [24, Thm. XIII].

For any operator ϕ defined on some linear subspace of H, write $\phi\eta\mathbf{M}$ if $\psi\phi\psi^{-1} = \phi$ for all unitary $\psi \in \mathbf{M}'$ (cf [23, Def.4.2.1]). Let $U(\mathbf{M})$ consist of all closed linear operators ϕ with $\phi\eta\mathbf{M}$ and having dense linear domain. Consider the following operations with domain $U(\mathbf{M})$

$$(\phi, \psi) \mapsto [\phi + \psi], \ (\phi, \psi) \mapsto [\phi \circ \psi], \ \phi \mapsto [\phi^*]$$

where $[\chi]$ denotes the closure of the linear operator χ .

THEOREM 4.2. (Murray-von-Neumann.) For every finite factor \mathbf{M} , $U(\mathbf{M})$ is a *-regular ring having \mathbf{M} as *-subring and such that ϕ^* is adjoint to ϕ . Moreover, \mathbf{M} and $U(\mathbf{M})$ have the same projections.

PROOF. This is trivial for type I_n . For II_1 factors this is [23, Thm. XV] together with [28, Part II, Ch.II, App 2.(VI)] and [29, p.191] for *-regularity. Now, consider $\pi : D \to H$ in $U(\mathbf{M})$ such that $\pi = \pi^* = \pi^2$. Then $U = Im\pi \subseteq D$ so π is a projection of D, i.e. $D = U \oplus^{\perp} V$. By density of D it follows $U^{\perp \perp} \oplus^{\perp} V^{\perp \perp} = H$ and π extends to a projection $\hat{\pi}$ of H onto $U^{\perp \perp}$. From $\pi\eta \mathbf{M}$ it follows $\hat{\pi}\eta \mathbf{M}$, whence $\hat{\pi} \in U(\mathbf{M})$ and $\pi = \hat{\pi} \in \mathbf{M}$ by [23] Lemmas 16.4.2 and 4.2.1.

An important concept in the Murray-von-Neumann construction is that of an *essentially dense* linear subspace X of H (w.r.t. **M**). Here, we need only the following properties:

- 1. Essentially dense X is dense in H [23, Lemma 16.2.1].
- 2. The domains of members of $U(\mathbf{M})$ are essentially dense [23, Lemma 16.4.3].
- 3. For any $\phi \in U(\mathbf{M})$ and essentially dense X, the preimage $\phi^{-1}(X)$ is essentially dense [23, Lemma 16.2.3].
- 4. Any finite or countable intersection of essentially dense X_n is essentially dense [23, Lemma 16.2.2].

THEOREM 4.3. (Luca Guidici.) Any countable *-subring of $U(\mathbf{M})$ is representable.

PROOF. Consider any countable *-subring R of $U(\mathbf{M})$. A representation of R is constructed from the given Hilbert space H. Let H_0 be the intersection of all domains of operators $\phi \in R$. By (2), H_0 is essentially dense. Define, recursively, H_{n+1} as the intersection of H_n and all preimages $\phi^{-1}(H_n)$ where $\phi \in R$. By (3) and (4), H_{n+1} is essentially dense. By (4), the intersection $H_{\omega} = \bigcap_{n < \omega} H_n$ is essentially dense and, by (1), dense in H. By construction, H_{ω} is invariant under R.

Now, for $\phi \in R$ define $\varepsilon(\phi) = \phi|H_{\omega}$. Then $\varepsilon : R \to \mathsf{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_{\omega})$ is a *-ring homomorphism. Indeed, e.g. $[\phi + \psi]|H_{\omega}$ is an extension of $\phi|H_{\omega} + \psi|H_{\omega}$ and equality holds since both are maps with the same domain. Also $\varepsilon(\phi^*)$ is the restriction of the adjoint ϕ^* in H, whence the adjoint in H_{ω} . If $\varepsilon(\phi) = 0$, then H_{ω} is contained in the closed subspace ker ϕ and it follows $\phi = 0$ by density. Thus, ε is a representation.

§5. Equational theory of projection lattices.

CHRISTIAN HERRMANN

THEOREM 5.1. For any class \mathcal{M} of finite von-Neumann algebra factors, and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}\{L(\mathbf{M}) \mid \mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{M}\}$ one has $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if and only if \mathcal{V} satisfies the n + 1-distributive law but not the n-distributive law. Moreover, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}$ if and only if \mathcal{V} satisfies no n-distributive law. In any case, the equational theory of \mathcal{V} is decidable.

PROOF. Let **M** be a finite von-Neumann algebra factor. In view of Thm.4.2 and Cor.3.3, we have to consider countable regular *-subrings R of $U(\mathbf{M})$. By Thm.4.3, each such R is representable. By Cor.3.4 and Cor.2.3 we have $L(R) \in \mathcal{N}$ and it follows $L(\mathbf{M}) \in \mathcal{N}$.

By Lemma 2.1, Cor.3.2, and Thm.4.1, \mathcal{M} contains factors of arbitrarily large finite dimensions or a type II₁ factor if and only if \mathcal{V} is *n*-distributive for no *n*. In this case, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}$. Otherwise, there is a maximal *n* such that \mathcal{V} is *n*-distributive, in particular all members of \mathcal{M} are of the form $\mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ with $k \leq n$ and k = noccurs, so $\mathcal{V} = \mathsf{VL}(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$.

Recall that according to Tarski [27] the (ordered) field \mathbb{R} has a decidable first order theory. This extends to the field \mathbb{C} endowed with the unary operation of conjugation and then (uniformly) to the involutive \mathbb{C} -algebras $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Encoding the geometry in Tarski style into \mathbb{C} or von-Neumann style into $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, it follows, that there is a uniform decision procedure for the first order theories of the $L(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong L(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$. This settles the case of $\mathcal{V} = \mathsf{V}L(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$. To decide whether an identity t = 0 holds in \mathcal{N} , by Lemma 2.2 it suffices to decide validity in $L(\mathbb{C}^{m \times m})$, m the number of occurences of variables in t. \dashv

§6. Von-Neumann frames. Let $n \ge 3$ fixed. An *n*-frame, in the sense of von-Neumann [28], in a lattice L is a list $\overline{a} : a_i, a_{ij}, 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j$ of elements of L such that for any 3 distinct j, k, l

$$a_j \sum_{i \neq j} a_i = 0 = a_j a_{jk}, \quad \sum_i a_i = 1$$

$$a_j + a_{jk} = a_j + a_k, \ a_{jl} = a_{lj} = (a_j + a_l)(a_{jk} + a_{kl}).$$

If L is modular and $n \ge 4$ then

$$R(L,\overline{a}) = \{r \in L \mid ra_2 = 0, \ r + a_2 = a_1 + a_2\}$$

can be turned into a ring, the *coordinate ring*. For the present purpose it suffices to know that $R(L, \overline{a})$ is a semigroup under the multiplication

$$s \otimes r = [(r + a_{23})(a_1 + a_3) + (s + a_{13})](a_2 + a_3)](a_1 + a_2)$$

cf. [21] where $R(L, \overline{a})$ is denoted by L_{12} and $r = r_{12}$ replaced by the array of the r_{ij} obtained via the prespectivities provided by the a_{kl} . Thus, for each multiplicative term $t(\overline{x}) = x_n \cdot ((\dots \cdot x_2) \cdot x_1)$ there is a lattice polynomial

$$t(\overline{a},\overline{x}) = x_n \otimes ((\ldots \otimes x_2) \otimes x_1)$$

such that $\hat{t}(\overline{a}, \overline{r}) = t(\overline{r})$ for all substitutions \overline{r} in $R(L, \overline{a})$.

In the sequel, orthocomplementation is no longer an issue and we write L(V) for the lattice of all subspaces of V, L(R) for the lattice of all right ideals of R. If $R^{n \times n}$ is the $n \times n$ -matrix ring of some ring R with unit and $L = L(R^{n \times n})$ with the canonical n-frame \overline{a} then $R(L,\overline{a})$ is isomorphic to R - here \overline{a} consists

6

of the $E_{jj}R^{n\times n}$ and $(E_{jj} - E_{ij})R^{n\times n}$ where the E_{ij} form the canonical basis of the *R*-module $R^{n\times n}$. Indeed, one has a 1-1-correspondence between R, $R(L, \overline{a})$, and certain right submodules of R^n given by

$$r \leftrightarrow (E_{11} - rE_{21})R^{n \times n} \leftrightarrow (e_1 - re_2)R$$

where e_1, \ldots, e_n is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Using the notations $(rx, sx, tx) = (e_1r + e_2s + e_3t)\mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{r} = (e_1 - re_2)\mathbb{R}$ we compute

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\tilde{r}+a_{23})(a_1+a_3) &=& (x,y-rx,-y) &\cap & (u,0,v) &=& (x,0,-rx) \\ (\tilde{s}+a_{13})(a_2+a_3) &=& (x-y,-sx,y) &\cap & (0,u,v) &=& (0,-sy,y) \\ \tilde{s}\otimes\tilde{r} &=& (x,-sy,y-rx) &\cap & (u,v,0) &=& (x,-srx,0). \end{array}$$

This translates back into $L(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ and shows that $r \mapsto \tilde{r}$ is an isomorphism between the semigroups R and $R(L, \overline{a})$.

§7. Quasivarieties and word problems. A *quasi-identity* is a sentence

$$\forall \overline{x}. \ \bigwedge_{j=1}^k s_j(\overline{x}) = t_j(\overline{x}) \Rightarrow s(\overline{x}) = t(\overline{x})$$

where the $s_j(\bar{x})$ and so on are terms. A *quasivariety* is a class of algebraic structures defined by quasi-identities, equivalently an axiomatic class closed under substructures and direct products.

A solution of the *uniform word problem* for a class C consists in a decision procedure for quasi-identities (i.e. a solution for all finite presentations). The *restriced word problem* is unsolvable for C if for some fixed premise the associated set of quasi-identities is undecidable within C. In other words, within the quasi-variety QC generated by C there is a finitely presented member having unsolvable word problem.

Unsolvability of the restricted word problem has been established by Hutchinson [19] and Lipshitz [21] for any class C of modular lattices with $L(V) \in QC$ for some infinite dimensional vector space V. Also, based on analoguous results of Gurevich [11] for semigroups, Lipshitz has shown unsolvability for classes $\{L(F^n) \mid F \in \mathcal{F}, n < \infty\}$, \mathcal{F} any class of fields, and for C the class of finite (complemented) modular lattices. These results extend to classes having the appropriate lattice reducts.

For sufficiently large classes of modular ortholattices (e.g. containing all 14distributives) unsolvability in 3 generators has been shown by M.S. Roddy [26] and this has been used in [16] to prove undecidability of the equational theory for the class of all *n*-distributives for fixed $n \ge 14$.

Let $S(S_{fin})$ denote the class of all (finite) semigroups, and S_p the set of semigroups $F_p^{n \times n}$ $(n \ge 1)$ where F_p is the prime field of characteristic p, prime or 0. Let \mathcal{M} denote the class of all modular lattices, \mathcal{M}_p the set of lattices $L(F_p^n) \cong L(F_p^{n \times n})$ $(n \ge 1)$. For a class \mathcal{C} denote by $\mathsf{R}_S \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathsf{R}_L \mathcal{C}$ the class of all semigroup resp. lattice reducts of structures in \mathcal{C} and by $\mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{C}$ the set of all quasi-identities valid in \mathcal{C} .

THEOREM 7.1. A quasivariety \mathcal{Q} has unsolvable uniform word problem if $\mathcal{S}_p \subseteq SR_S \mathcal{Q} \subseteq S$ or $\mathcal{M}_p \subseteq SR_L \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for some p.

PROOF. Given a finite semigroup S, one may consider the semigroup ring $F_p[S]$ as an F_p -vector space V and thus embed S into $\operatorname{End}_{F_p}(V) \cong F_p^{n \times n}$ where n = |S|. It follows $\operatorname{Th}_q \mathcal{S}_p \subseteq \operatorname{Th}_q \mathcal{S}_{fin}$ for all p and equality for p > 0. Since $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n} \in \operatorname{SP}_u\{F_p^{n \times n} \mid p \text{ prime}\}$, one has

$$\mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{S}_p = \mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{S}_{fin}$$
 for all p .

This is contained in Lipshitz [21, Lemma 3.5]. The claim in the semigroup case follows from the result of Gurevich and Lewis [12] that there is no recursive Γ such that $\mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{S} \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{S}_{fin}$.

According to the preceeding section and again following Lipshitz [21], one may associate with each quasi-identity ϕ as above in the semigroup language a quasi-identity $\hat{\phi}$ in the lattice language

 $\forall \overline{a} \forall \overline{x} \ \alpha(\overline{a}) \land \bigwedge_i (x_i a_a = 0 \land x_i + a_2 = a_1 + a_2)$

 $\wedge \bigwedge_{j} \hat{s}_{j}(\overline{a},\overline{x}) = \hat{t}_{j}(\overline{a},\overline{x}) \Rightarrow \hat{s}(\overline{a},\overline{x}) = \hat{t}(\overline{a},\overline{x})$ where $\alpha(\overline{a})$ states that \overline{a} is a 4-frame. Since $R(L,\overline{a})$ is a semigroup for any modular lattice L, it follows that $\hat{\phi} \in \operatorname{Th}_{q}\mathcal{M}$ for all $\phi \in \operatorname{Th}_{q}\mathcal{S}$. On the other hand, if $\hat{\phi}$ holds in $L(R^{4\times 4})$, substituting the canoncial 4-frame for \overline{a} , then ϕ holds in R. In particular, for the ring $R = F_p^{n\times n}$ we encode equality of products of $n \times n$ -matrices over F_p into equality of particular lattice elements. Thus, considering all $R = F_p^{n\times n}, n \geq 1$, it follows $\phi \in \operatorname{Th}_q \mathcal{S}_p$ for $\hat{\phi} \in \operatorname{Th}_q \mathcal{M}_p$. This proves that $\phi \in \operatorname{Th}_q \mathcal{S}_p$ if and only if $\hat{\phi} \in \operatorname{Th}_q \mathcal{M}_p$.

Now, given $\mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{M} \subseteq \Delta \subseteq \mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{M}_p$ define Γ as the set of those quasi-identities ϕ in semigroup language with $\hat{\phi} \in \Delta$. Then

$$\mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{S} \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{S}_p$$

 \dashv

and if Δ is recursive then so is Γ .

COROLLARY 7.2. \mathcal{N} as well as the class of projection lattices of finite factors have an undecidable uniform word problem. The quasivariety \mathcal{Q} generated by all ortholattices $L(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ $(n < \omega)$ has an undecidable restricted word problem and is not a variety.

PROOF. The undecidability claim is immediate by Thm.7.1 resp. the quoted result of Lipshitz [21, Thm.3.6]. By decidability of the $L(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$, the complement of $\mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{Q}$ within the set of quasi-identities is recursively enumerable. If \mathcal{Q} were a variety, then by Thm.5.1 it would coincide with \mathcal{N} and be recursively axiomatizable. Thus $\mathsf{Th}_q \mathcal{Q}$ would be recursively enumerable, too, and this would imply solvability of the uniform word problem.

PROBLEM 7.3. Is the restricted word problem solvable for (a) \mathcal{N} resp. (b) the class of projection lattices of finite factors ?

REFERENCES

M. K. BENNETT, Biatomic lattices, Algebra Universalis, vol. 24 (1987), pp. 60–73.
STERLING K. BERBERIAN, The regular ring of a finite AW*-algebra, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 65 (1957), pp. 224–240.

8

[3] , The maximal ring of quotients of a finite von-Neumann algebra, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, vol. 12 (1982), pp. 149–164.

[4] GARRET BIRKHOFF, *Lattice theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1967.

[5] GARRET BIRKHOFF and JOHN VON NEUMANN, The logic of quantum mechanics, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 37 (1936), pp. 823–843.

[6] STANLEY BURRIS and H. P. SANKAPPANAVAR, *A course in universal algebra*, Springer, Berlin, 1981.

[7] J. MICHAEL DUNN, TOBIAS J. HAGGE, LAWRENCE S. MOSS, and ZHENGHAN WANG, *Quantum logic as motivated by quantum computing*, this JOURNAL, vol. 70 (2005), pp. 353–359.

[8] LUCA GIUDICI, Dintorni del teorema di coordinatizatione di von Neumann, Ph.D. thesis, Universita di Milano, 1995.

[9] KENNETH R. GOODEARL, Von Neumann regular rings, Krieger, Malabar, 1991.

[10] HERBERT GROSS, *Quadratic forms in infinite-dimensional vector spaces*, Birkäuser, Basel, 1979.

[11] YURI GUREVICH, The word problem for certain classes of semigroups, Algebra and Logic, vol. 5 (1966), pp. 25–35.

[12] YURI GUREVICH and HARRY R. LEWIS, The word problem for cancellation semigroups with zero, this JOURNAL, vol. 49 (1984), pp. 184–191.

[13] TOBIAS J. HAGGE, $QL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ determines n, this JOURNAL, vol. 72 (2007), pp. 1194–1196. [14] CHRISTIAN HERRMANN, Complemented modular lattices with involution and orthogonal geometry, Algebra Universalis, vol. 61 (2009), p. 26.

[15] —, Generators for complemented modular lattices and the von-Neumann-Jónsson coordinatization theorems, to appear in Algebra Universalis, 2009.

[16] CHRISTIAN HERRMANN, FLORENCE MICOL, and MICHAEL S. RODDY, On n-distributive modular ortholattices, Algebra Universalis, vol. 53 (2005), pp. 143–147.

[17] SAMUEL S. HOLLAND, Current interest in orthomodular lattices, Trends in lattice theory (J. C. Abbott, editor), van Nostrand Reinhold, Cincinatti, 1970, pp. 41–116.

[18] ANDRÁS P. HUHN, Schwach distributive Verbände I, Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum, vol. 33 (1972), pp. 297–305.

[19] GEORGE HUTCHINSON, Recursively unsolvable word problems of modular lattices and diagram chasing, Journal of Algebra, vol. 26 (1973), pp. 385–399.

[20] IRVING KAPLANSKY, Von Neumann's characterization of factors of type II_1 , Collected works of John von Neumann, vol. III, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963, pp. 562–563.

[21] LEONID L. LIPSHITZ, The undecidability of the word problems for projective geometries and modular lattices, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 193 (1974), pp. 171–180.

[22] FUMITOMO MAEDA, Kontinuierliche Geometrien, Springer, Berlin, 1958.

[23] FRANCIS J. MURRAY and JOHN VON NEUMANN, On rings of operators, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 37 (1936), pp. 116–229.

[24] ——, On rings of operators IV, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 44 (1943), pp. 761–808.

[25] MIKLÓS RÉDEI, Quantum logic in algebraic approach, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998.

[26] MICHAEL S. RODDY, On the word problem for orthocomplemented modular lattices, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, vol. 61 (1989), pp. 961–1004.

[27] ALFRED TARSKI, *A decision method for elementary algebra and geometry*, RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, 1948.

[28] JOHN VON NEUMANN, *Continuous geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1960.

[29] —, Continuous geometries with a transition probability, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1981.

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

FB MATHEMATIK

SCHLOSSGARTENSTR. 7, D 64289 DARMSTADT, GERMANY *E-mail*: herrmann@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de