

# On the asymptotic behavior of odd operators

Ulrich Kohlenbach\*

Department of Mathematics  
Technische Universität Darmstadt  
Schlossgartenstraße 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

3. May 2011, some typos corrected 10. Dec. 2013

## Abstract

We give quantitative versions of strong convergence results due to Baillon, Bruck and Reich for iterations of nonexpansive odd (and more general) operators in uniformly convex Banach spaces.

**Keywords:** Nonexpansive mappings, odd operators, fixed points, metastability, functional interpretation, proof mining.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 03F10; 47H09; 47H10

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space and  $C \subseteq X$  a closed convex subset satisfying  $C = -C$ . In [2], Baillon, Bruck and Reich showed (among many other things) that the iteration  $(T^n x)$  of an odd nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  that is asymptotically regular at  $x \in C$  strongly converges to a fixed point of  $T$ . By a famous result due to Ishikawa [4] the averaged mapping  $T_\lambda x := (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda T(x)$  with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  of a nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  always is asymptotically regular provided that  $(T_\lambda^n x)$  is bounded (in fact – by another result from [2] – it suffices that  $(\|T_\lambda^n x\|/n)$  converges to 0).

With  $T$  also  $T_\lambda$  is nonexpansive and odd and so the sequence  $(x_n)$  defined by  $x_n := T_\lambda^n x$  (which trivially is bounded) converges strongly towards a fixed point  $p \in C$  of  $T$ .

We first observe that the condition of  $T$  being nonexpansive and odd can be weakened to the condition

$$(W) : \forall x, y \in C \quad (\|Tx + Ty\| \leq \|x + y\|)$$

studied in [14] which also makes the assumption  $C = -C$  superfluous.

It is easy to show that there is no computable (in the data at hand) rate of convergence even for  $X := \mathbb{R}, C := [0, 1], \lambda := 1/2, x := 1$  in the sense that there is a computable sequence

---

\*The author has been supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG Project KO 1737/5-1).

( $f_l$ ) of odd nonexpansive functions  $f_l : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  such that there is no computable function  $\delta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $x_n^l := (f_l)_{\frac{1}{2}}^n(1)$

$$(+)\ \forall m \geq \delta(l) \ (|x_m^l - x_{\delta(l)}^l| \leq \frac{1}{2}).$$

Define  $f_l(x) := a_l \cdot x$ , where  $a_l := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g(l, i) \cdot 2^{-i-1} \in [0, 1]$  with

$$g(l, n) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \neg T(l, l, n) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Here  $T$  denotes the Kleene  $T$ -predicate.

Now observe that

$$(++)\ a_l = 1 \rightarrow x_{\delta(l)}^l = 1 \text{ and } a_l < 1 \rightarrow x_{\delta(l)}^l \in [0, 1/2].$$

While the first implication is immediate from the definition of  $x_n^l$ , the second follows using (+) and the fact that (by – an essentially trivial use of – Ishikawa’s theorem [4])  $(x_n^l)$  converges towards the unique fixed point 0 of  $f_l$ .

By (++) the computability of  $\delta$  would allow us to decide whether  $a_l = 1$  or  $a_l < 1$  and so whether or not  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} T(l, l, n)$  contradicting the undecidability of the (special) Halting problem.

While we do not know whether for single computable operators  $T : C \rightarrow C$  in effective uniformly convex spaces, the iteration  $x_n := T_{\lambda}^n x$  (for computable  $x \in C, \lambda \in (0, 1)$ ) might have no computable rate of convergence, we show that the rate is computable iff the norm  $\|p\|$  of the strong limit  $p$  of  $(x_n)$  is computable.

Things are much better for a reformulation of the convergence property known in logic as the no-counterexample interpretation of the former ([7, 8], see also [5]) which recently has been popularized under the name of ‘metastability’ by T. Tao (see [11, 12]). Here one considers the statement

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \forall i, j \in [k; k + g(k)] \ (\|T^i x - T^j x\| < \varepsilon)$$

which, ineffectively, is equivalent to the strong convergence of  $(T^n x)$ . Here  $[k; k + m] := \{k, k + 1, k + 2, \dots, k + m\}$ .

We then give an explicit effective (in fact even primitive recursive) and highly uniform rate  $\Phi(b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g)$  of metastability of  $(T^n x)$

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 2] \forall g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall b \in \mathbb{N}^* \forall x \in C_b \exists n \leq \Phi(b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g) \\ \forall i, j \in [n; n + g(n)] \ (\|T^i x - T^j x\| < \varepsilon)$$

that (in addition to  $\varepsilon$  and  $g$ ) only depends on a norm upper bound  $b \geq \|x\|$  of  $x$  and a uniform rate  $\alpha$  of asymptotic regularity of  $T$  on  $C_b := \{x \in C : \|x\| \leq b\}$ , i.e.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall b \in \mathbb{N}^* \forall x \in C_b \forall n \geq \alpha(b, \varepsilon) \ (\|T^{n+1} x - T^n x\| < \varepsilon).$$

In fact, instead of  $\alpha$  a (uniform) rate on the metastable version of asymptotic regularity, i.e. a  $\varphi$  such that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall b \in \mathbb{N}^* \forall x \in C_b \exists k \leq \varphi(b, f, \varepsilon) \forall i \in [k; k + f(k)] (\|T^{i+1}x - T^i x\| < \varepsilon),$$

is sufficient.

The bound  $\Phi$  is independent of  $X$  (and  $C$ ) except for a modulus of uniform convexity  $\eta$  of  $X$  (and an upper bound  $b$  on  $\|x\|$ ). The extraction of this bound is an instance of a general logical metatheorem which not only guarantees the extractability of such bounds for large classes of proofs but also provides an algorithm for the actual construction of the bound from a given proof. This then results again in an ordinary proof that no longer relies on any facts from logic (see [5], in particular Chapters 17 and 18, for all this).

Using the optimal rate of asymptotic regularity  $\alpha$  for  $T_\lambda$  from [1] this gives an effective (and even primitive recursive) rate of metastability for the strong convergence of  $(x_n)$  (as defined above) that only depends on  $\varepsilon, g$  and  $b$ .

A primitive recursive rate on the metastability of the **Cesàro means** (i.e. ergodic averages) of operators in Hilbert space satisfying Wittmann's condition was recently extracted from Wittmann's [14] proof of strong convergence of these means by Safarik [10]. For another quantitative strong nonlinear ergodic theorem see [6]. Again, these results have been obtained using the aforementioned proof-theoretic approach.

## 2 Results

In the following, let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space with a modulus of convexity  $\eta : (0, 2] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ , i.e.

$$\forall x, y \in B_1(0) \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 2] \left( \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \|x - y\| < \varepsilon \right),$$

where  $B_d(0)$  denotes the closed ball with center 0 and radius  $d$  in  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $x, y \in B_d(0) \subset X$  with  $0 < d \leq b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 2] \left( \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| > d(1 - \eta(\varepsilon/b)) \rightarrow \|x - y\| < \varepsilon \right).$$

**Proof:** Define  $\tilde{x} := x/d, \tilde{y} := y/d$  so that  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in B_1(0)$ .

Assume that  $\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| > d(1 - \eta(\varepsilon/b))$ . Then

$$\left\| \frac{\tilde{x} + \tilde{y}}{2} \right\| = \frac{1}{d} \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon/b)$$

and so  $\frac{1}{d}\|x - y\| = \|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b}$ . Hence  $\|x - y\| < \frac{d\varepsilon}{b} \leq \varepsilon$ . □

**Notation:** For  $b \in \mathbb{N}^*$  define  $C_b := \{x \in C : \|x\| \leq b\}$ .

For  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $n \dot{-} m := n - m$  if  $n \geq m$  and  $:= 0$ , otherwise.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $C \subseteq X$  be any nonempty subset of  $X$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  a selfmapping of  $C$  that satisfies Wittmann's [14] condition*

$$(W) : \forall x, y \in C \left( \|Tx + Ty\| \leq \|x + y\| \right).$$

*Moreover, assume that for each  $0 < b \in \mathbb{N}$  the mapping  $T$  is (uniformly on  $C_b$ ) asymptotically regular with a rate  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.*

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall b \in \mathbb{N}^* \forall x \in C_b \forall n \geq \alpha(b, \varepsilon) \left( \|T^{n+1}x - T^n x\| < \varepsilon \right).$$

*Then  $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges strongly with the following rate of metastability*

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 2] \forall g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall b \in \mathbb{N}^* \forall x \in C_b \exists n \leq \Phi(b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g) \\ \forall i, j \in [n; n + g(n)] \left( \|T^i x - T^j x\| < \varepsilon \right),$$

where

$$\Phi(b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g) := \Psi(b, h_{b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g}, \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{2}) \text{ with} \\ h_{b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g}(n) := h(n) := \max \left\{ \alpha \left( b, \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{\max\{g(n), 1\}} \right) \div n, g(n) \right\} \text{ and} \\ \Psi(b, f, \delta) := \tilde{f}^{(\lceil b/\delta \rceil)}(0) \text{ with } \tilde{f}(n) := n + f(n) \text{ for } f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \\ \delta_b(\varepsilon) := \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \eta(\varepsilon/b).$$

*If  $T$  is continuous and  $C$  closed, then the strong limit of  $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . For the metastability statement the completeness of  $X$  is not needed.*

**Proof:** It suffices to prove the metastability statement which (ineffectively) implies the strong Cauchy property of the sequence (and so using the completeness of  $X$  its convergence). That for continuous  $T$  (and closed  $C$ ) the limit is a fixed point of  $T$  then trivially follows from the asymptotic regularity of  $T$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $C, T, x$  be as in the theorem. By the condition (W) the sequence  $(\|T^n x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nonincreasing and hence convergent. By [5] (Proposition 2.27, Remark 2.29) it follows that  $\Psi$  is a rate of metastability for this sequence, i.e.

$$\forall \delta > 0 \forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \exists n \leq \Psi(b, f, \delta) \forall i, j \in [n; n + f(n)] \left( \left| \|T^i x\| - \|T^j x\| \right| < \delta \right).$$

For  $\delta := \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{2}$  and  $f := h := h_{b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g}$  let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such a number.

Define  $d := \|T^n x\| = \max\{\|T^k x\| : k \in [n; n + h(n)]\} \leq b$ . Then

$$(1) \forall k \in [n; n + h(n)] \left( d - \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{2} < \|T^k x\| \leq d \right).$$

From the assumption on  $\alpha$  we get

$$(2) \forall i \in \mathbb{N}^* \forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall k \geq \alpha(b, \varepsilon/i) \forall j \leq i \left( \|T^k x - T^{k+j} x\| < \varepsilon \right),$$

since

$$\|T^k x - T^{k+j} x\| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \|T^{k+l} x - T^{k+l+1} x\| < \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{i} \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $0 < j \leq i$  and  $k \geq \alpha(b, \varepsilon/i)$ .

For  $k := n + h(n) \geq \alpha\left(b, \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{\max\{g(n), 1\}}\right)$  we get from (1) and (2) that for all  $i \in [n; n + g(n)] \subseteq [n; k]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \forall j \leq g(n) \quad \left(2(d - \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{2})\right) &\leq 2\|T^k x\| \leq \|T^{k+j} x + T^k x\| + \|T^k x - T^{k+j} x\| \\ &< \|T^{k+j} x + T^k x\| + \delta_b(\varepsilon) \\ &\stackrel{(W)}{\leq} \|T^{i+j} x + T^i x\| + \delta_b(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad \forall i, j \in [n; n + g(n)] \quad \left(d - \delta_b(\varepsilon) < \left\| \frac{T^i x + T^j x}{2} \right\| \right).$$

**Case 1:**  $d := \|T^n x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Then

$$\forall i, j \in [n; n + g(n)] \quad (\|T^i x - T^j x\| \leq \|T^i x\| + \|T^j x\| \leq 2\|T^n x\| < \varepsilon)$$

and so we are done.

**Case 2:**  $d \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Then by the definition of  $\delta_b(\varepsilon)$  and (3) we have

$$(4) \quad \forall i, j \in [n; n + g(n)] \quad \left(d(1 - \eta(\varepsilon/b)) < \left\| \frac{T^i x + T^j x}{2} \right\| \right).$$

Using (1), (4) and lemma 2.1 yields that

$$\forall i, j \in [n; n + g(n)] \quad (\|T^i x - T^j x\| < \varepsilon).$$

□

**Remark 2.3.** If  $\eta(\varepsilon)$  can be written as  $\varepsilon \cdot \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon)$  with  $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon_1) \leq \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon_2)$ , then we can replace  $\delta_b(\varepsilon)$  in the bound in theorem 2.2 by  $\delta_b(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon \cdot \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon/b)$ . In particular, in the case of a Hilbert space  $X$  (where one can take  $\eta(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon^2/8$ , see e.g. [6]), this yields  $\delta_b(\varepsilon) := \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8b}$ .

**Proof:** With  $\delta_b(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon \cdot \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon/b)$  one gets instead of (4) in the proof of theorem 2.2

$$(4)' \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall i, j \in [n; n + g(n)] \\ \left(d(1 - \eta(\varepsilon/d)) = d(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{d} \cdot \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon/d)) \leq d(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{d} \cdot \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon/b)) < \left\| \frac{T^i x + T^j x}{2} \right\| \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

The claim now follows using lemma 2.1 since  $T^i x, T^j x \in B_d(0)$  for  $i, j \in [n; n + g(n)]$ . □

The above extraction of the rate of metastability  $\Phi$  from the proof given in [2] (and also the fact that  $\Phi$  only depends on the arguments  $b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g$ ) is an instance of a general logical metatheorem (see [3] Theorem 6.3.2 or [5] Theorem 17.69.2 and note that the condition (W) is purely universal and implies that  $T$  is majorized by the identity function). In fact, that metatheorem even guarantees such a bound when the rate of asymptotic regularity  $\alpha$  is replaced by a weaker rate of metastability  $\varphi$  instead, i.e.

(\*)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall b \in \mathbb{N}^* \forall x \in C_b \exists k \leq \varphi(b, f, \varepsilon) \forall i \in [k; k + f(k)] (\|T^{i+1}x - T^i x\| < \varepsilon)$ .

We will briefly demonstrate this now. In fact, one only needs  $\varphi$  for constant- $c$  functions ( $c \in \mathbb{N}$ ) that we also denote by  $c$ . Modifying  $\varphi$  to  $\varphi'(b, c, l, \varepsilon) := \varphi(b, c + l, \varepsilon) + l$  one gets for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(**) \exists k \leq \varphi'(b, c, l, \varepsilon) \forall i \in [k; k + c] (k \geq l \wedge \|T^{i+1}x - T^i x\| < \varepsilon).$$

Now define (using (\*\*))  $\alpha_{n,g}(b, \varepsilon)$  as the least  $k \leq \varphi'(b, g(n), n + g(n), \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\forall i \in [k; k + g(n)] (k \geq n + g(n) \wedge \|T^{i+1}x - T^i x\| < \varepsilon).$$

Then theorem 2.2 holds with  $\alpha$  and  $h_{b,\alpha,\varepsilon,g}$  being replaced by  $\alpha_{n,g}$  and  $h_{b,\varphi,\varepsilon,g}(n) := \alpha_{n,g}\left(b, \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{\max\{g(n), 1\}}\right) - n$  respectively. Replacing  $h_{b,\varphi,\varepsilon,g}$  by the monotone upper bound

$$h_{b,\varphi,\varepsilon,g}^*(n) := \max\{\varphi'(b, g(m), m + g(m), \delta_b(\varepsilon)/\max\{g(m), 1\}) - m : m \leq n\}$$

yields an upper bound

$$\Phi(b, \varphi, \varepsilon, g) := \Psi(b, h_{b,\varphi,\varepsilon,g}^*, \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{2}) \geq \Psi(b, h_{b,\varphi,\varepsilon,g}, \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{2})$$

satisfying theorem 2.2. This yields the following qualitative improvement of theorem 2.2

**Corollary 2.4.** *For the strong convergence of  $(T^n x)$  in theorem 2.2 one can weaken the asymptotic regularity assumption to*

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall c \in \mathbb{N} \forall x \in C \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \forall i \in [k; k + c] (\|T^{i+1}x - T^i x\| < \varepsilon).$$

If  $T$  is continuous and  $C$  is closed, then the limit of  $(T^n x)$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

**Proof:** By the reasoning above, the sequence  $(T^n x)$  is metastable (note that for metastability in the point  $x$  we also only need the above weak form of asymptotic regularity in  $x$ ) and hence is strongly Cauchy. For closed  $C$  the limit is in  $C$  and – for continuous  $T$  – a fixed point of  $T$  as the condition in the corollary implies that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists k \geq n (\|T^{k+1}x - T^k x\| < \varepsilon).$$

□

In the following, we apply theorem 2.2 to averages mappings for which effective (full) rates of asymptotical regularity are known (here ‘ $\pi$ ’ denotes the constant  $\pi$ ):

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space and  $C \subseteq X$  a closed and convex subset. Assume that  $T : C \rightarrow C$  satisfies (W) and is nonexpansive. Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and define  $T_\lambda x := (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda T x$ ,  $x_n := T_\lambda^n x$  for  $x \in C$ . Then  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  strongly converges to a fixed point  $p \in C$  of  $T$  and the following rate of metastability holds:*

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 2] \forall g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall b \in \mathbb{N}^* \forall x \in C_b \exists n \leq \Phi(b, \alpha, \varepsilon, g) \forall i, j \in [n; n + g(n)] (\|x_i - x_j\| < \varepsilon),$$

where  $\Phi$  is as in theorem 2.2 and  $\alpha(b, \varepsilon) := \left\lceil \frac{b^2 \cdot \lambda}{\pi(1-\lambda)\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil$ .

For the last statement no completeness of  $X$  or closedness of  $C$  is needed.

**Proof:** For  $x \in C_b$  it follows from a deep result due to Baillon and Bruck [1] (and using that  $\lambda\|x_n - T(x_n)\| = \|T_\lambda^{n+1}x - T_\lambda^n x\|$ ) that  $\alpha$  is a rate of asymptotic regularity for  $T_\lambda$  (this result even holds in arbitrary normed spaces).<sup>1</sup> With  $T$  also  $T_\lambda$  satisfies (W) since

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda x + T_\lambda y\| &= \|(1-\lambda)x + \lambda T x + (1-\lambda)y + \lambda T y\| \\ &\leq (1-\lambda)\|x + y\| + \lambda\|T x + T y\| \\ &\leq (1-\lambda)\|x + y\| + \lambda\|x + y\| = \|x + y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the corollary follows from theorem 2.2 applied to  $T_\lambda$  (note that the proof for the metastability statement did not use the completeness of  $X$  nor the closedness of  $C$ ).  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** For nonexpansive  $T$  the condition (W), in particular, holds when  $C = -C$  and  $T$  is odd, i.e.  $T(-x) = -T(x)$ .

The proof of theorem 2.2 (and theorem 2.5) immediately yields an effective rate of convergence of  $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (instead of a rate of metastability only) provided one has a rate  $\Psi_{x,T}$  of convergence for  $(\|T^n x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  given, i.e. for  $d := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n x\|$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall n \geq \Psi_{x,T}(\varepsilon) \quad (\|T^n x\| - d < \varepsilon).$$

Then  $\Psi_{x,T} \left( \frac{\delta_b(\varepsilon)}{2} \right)$  is a rate of convergence of  $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . This leads to the following (using the notion of computability for Banach spaces and mappings between Banach spaces from [9] and [13]).

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $X$  be a computable uniformly convex Banach space with a computable modulus of uniform convexity  $\eta$  and  $C$  be a closed and convex subset. Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a computable nonexpansive mapping satisfying condition (W) and  $x \in C$  be a computable point. Finally, let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  be computable. Then  $(T_\lambda^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges effectively (i.e. with a computable rate of convergence) to its limit  $p := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda^n x$  if and only if  $\|p\|$  is computable.

**Proof:** The assumptions yields that  $(x_n)$  with  $x_n := T_\lambda^n x$  is a computable sequence in  $X$ . If  $(x_n)$  converges effectively, then also  $p$  and hence  $\|p\|$  is computable. Conversely, suppose that  $\|p\|$  is computable. Then there is a computable function  $\rho : \mathbb{Q}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall q \in \mathbb{Q}_+^* \quad (\|T_\lambda^{\rho(q)} x\| - \|p\| < q)$$

since ' $\|T_\lambda^n x\| - \|p\| < q$ ' is computably enumerable in  $n, q$ . Since  $(\|T^n x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nonincreasing,  $\rho$  in fact is a rate of convergence. The comments preceding this corollary now yield a computable rate of convergence for  $(T_\lambda^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>The bound in [1] is stated for sequences in  $B_1(0)$  but can easily be adapted to  $B_b(0)$  by switching to the norm  $\|x\|_b := \frac{1}{b} \cdot \|x\|$ . The  $\alpha$  in our theorem results from this adaptation.

## References

- [1] Baillon, J., Bruck, R.E., The rate of asymptotic regularity is  $0(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ . Theory and applications of nonlinear operators of accretive and monotone type, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 178, pp. 51-81, Dekker, New York, 1996.
- [2] Baillon, J.B., Bruck, R.E., Reich, S., On the asymptotic behavior of nonexpansive mappings and semigroups in Banach spaces. Houston J. Math. **4**, pp. 1-9 (1978).
- [3] Gerhardy, P., Kohlenbach, U., General logical metatheorems for functional analysis. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360**, pp. 2615-2660 (2008).
- [4] Ishikawa, S., Fixed points and iterations of a nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **59**, pp. 65-71 (1976).
- [5] Kohlenbach, U., Applied Proof Theory: Proof Interpretations and their Use in Mathematics. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. xx+536pp., Springer Heidelberg-Berlin, 2008.
- [6] Kohlenbach, U., On quantitative versions of theorems due to F.E. Browder and R. Wittmann. Advances in Mathematics **226**, pp. 2764-2795 (2011).
- [7] Kreisel, G., On the interpretation of non-finitist proofs, part I. J. Symbolic Logic **16**, pp.241-267 (1951).
- [8] Kreisel, G., On the interpretation of non-finitist proofs, part II: Interpretation of number theory, applications. J. Symbolic Logic **17**, pp. 43-58 (1952).
- [9] Pour-El, M.B., Richards, J.I., Computability in Analysis and Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1989.
- [10] Safarik, P., A quantitative nonlinear strong ergodic theorem for Hilbert spaces. Preprint 2011.
- [11] Tao, T., Soft analysis, hard analysis, and the finite convergence principle. Essay posted May 23, 2007. Appeared in: 'T. Tao, Structure and Randomness: Pages from Year One of a Mathematical Blog. AMS, 298pp., 2008'.
- [12] Tao, T., Norm convergence of multiple ergodic averages for commuting transformations. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **28**, pp. 657-688 (2008).
- [13] Weihrauch, K., Computable Analysis. Springer, Berlin 2000.
- [14] Wittmann, R., Mean ergodic theorems for nonlinear operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108**, pp. 781-788 (1990).