

SEMINAR ON CONTINUITY IN SEMILATTICES (SCS)

NAME(S)	Keimel	DATE	M	D	Y
			8	10	76
TOPIC	Complements to relations with the interpolation properties and continuous lattices				
REFERENCE	(1) My MEMO SCS 8-1-76, (2) SCS Memo of LAWSON 7-12-76				

8. Solution of Problem (7.2) in (1).

K.H. Hofmann has pointed out to me, that an affirmative answer to this problem can be drawn from Proposition 4 in (2). We restate the following definition from (2):

3.1) In a complete lattice  $S$  we write  $x \ll y$ , if the following holds:

For every updirected subset  $D$  of  $S$  such that  $y \leq \sup D$ , there is an element  $d$  in  $D$  such that  $x \ll d$ .

We note:

(8.2) If  $x \ll y \ll z$ , then  $x \ll z$ .

(8.3) If  $x \ll y$ , then  $x \ll y$ .

(8.4)  $x \ll^* y$  iff  $x \ll^* y$  (For  $\ll^*$  see sec.5 in 1).

Proposition 4 in (2) asserts:

(8.5) In every compact semilattice,  $x \ll y$  iff  $x \prec y$ , where  $x \prec y$  is defined to mean that  $y$  is interior to the principal filter generated by  $x$  as in sec. 7.

Thus, for compact semilattices we conclude:

(8.6) If  $x \ll y \ll z$ , then  $x \prec z$ .

(8.7)  $x \ll^* y$  iff  $x \prec^* y$ .

West Germany: TH Darmstadt (Gierz, Keimel)  
U. Tübingen (Mislove, Visit.)

England: U. Oxford (Scott)

USA: U. California, Riverside (Stralka)  
LSU Baton Rouge (Lawson)  
Tulane U., New Orleans (Hofmann, Mislove)  
U. Tennessee, Knoxville (Carruth, Crawley)

The last statement shows that the left reflector  $\beta_c \circ k : \underline{\text{CS}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{CL}}$  constructed in sec. 7 is nothing but the restriction of the left reflector  $\beta_c \circ w' : \underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{\text{CL}}$  in sec. 6 to the 'subcategory'  $\underline{\text{CS}}$  of  $\underline{L}$ . For the same reason the kernel operators  $v_S$  and  $w_S$  of (6.5) and (7.11) coincide on a compact semilattice  $S$ .

### 9. Further comments.

Lawson's proposition (8.5) which even would deserve the name LEMMA has another interesting consequence (the equivalence of (1) and (4) generalises (1.25) of ATLAS where both  $S$  and  $T$  ~~are~~ are supposed to be continuous):

(9.1) PROPOSITION. Let  $S$  be a compact and  $T$  a continuous lattice. Let  $g : S \rightarrow T$  preserve arbitrary infima, with right adjoint  $d : T \rightarrow S$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $g$  preserves updirected suprema.
- (2)  $x \leq_T y \Rightarrow d(x) \leq_S d(y)$ .
- (3)  $x \leq_T y \Rightarrow d(x) \leq_S d(y)$ .
- (4)  $g$  is continuous (topologically).

Proof. (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) by (6.3) and (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) by ATLAS (1.19). Clearly, (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $x \leq_T y \Leftrightarrow x \leq_T^S y$  as  $T$  is a continuous lattice,  
 $\Rightarrow \exists a \in T \quad x \leq_T^S a \leq_T^S y$  as the interpolation prop.  
 $\Rightarrow d(x) \leq_S d(a) \leq_S d(y)$  by (2)  
 $\Rightarrow d(x) \leq_S d(y)$  by (8.6)

(9.2) Let  $(L, \sqsubseteq)$  be a CSRP-object, and  $c : L \rightarrow \beta_c L$

$$a \mapsto c(a) = \{x \in L \mid x \sqsubseteq a\}.$$

For  $c$  to preserve updirected suprema it is necessary and sufficient that  $x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow x \leq_L y$ . In this case  $c : L \rightarrow \beta_c L$  is surjective. Its right adjoint  $I \mapsto \sup I : \beta_c L \rightarrow L$  is injective and the kernel operator  $m_c : a \mapsto \sup c(a) : L \rightarrow L$  preserves updirected suprema.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $c$  preserves updirected suprema and let  $x \sqsubset y$ . In order to prove  $x \ll y$  let  $D$  be an updirected subset of  $L$  with  $y \leq \sup D$ . Then  $x \in c(y) \subseteq c(\sup D) = \bigcup \{c(d) ; d \in D\}$ , whence  $x \in c(d)$ , i.e.  $x \sqsubset d$  for some  $d \in D$ . Conversely, suppose that  $x \sqsubset y \implies x \ll y$ . Let  $D$  be an updirected subset of  $L$  and  $a = \sup D$ . Clearly,  $c(a)$  contains  $c(d)$  for all  $d$  in  $D$ . At the other hand, if  $x \in c(a)$ , then  $x \sqsubset a$ , whence  $x \sqsubset a' \sqsubset a$  for some  $a'$ . Then  $a' \ll a = \sup D$  by hypothesis. Consequently,  $a' \leq d$  for some  $d$  in  $D$ . We conclude that  $x \in c(d)$ .

For the surjectivity of  $c$ , note that for every ~~proper~~ ideal  $I \in \mathcal{P}_E(L)$  we have  $I = \bigcup \{c(d) ; d \in I\} = c(\sup I)$ , if we assume that  $c$  preserves updirected suprema. The remaining assertions are then clear.  $\square$

Now let  $(L, \sqsubset)$  be a S RIP-object (see sec. 3). The map  $c : L \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_E(L)$  defined as above preserves finite suprema if and only if the following axiom is satisfied:

(9.3) If  $x \sqsubset a \vee b$ , then there are  $x_1 \sqsubset a$ ,  $x_2 \sqsubset b$  such that  $x \leq x_1 \vee x_2$ .

By (3.17) we conclude:

(9.4)  $c : L \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_E(L)$  is a S RIP-morphism iff (9.3) holds.

Together with (9.2) this yields:

(9.5) Let  $(L, \sqsubset)$  be a C S RIP-object. Then  $c : L \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_E(L)$  is a C S RIP-morphism if and only if  $(L, \sqsubset)$  satisfies (9.3) and the property " $x \sqsubset y \implies x \ll y$ ".

(9.6) Under the conditions of (9.5),  $c : L \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_E(L)$  not only has a right adjoint  $I \mapsto \sup I : \mathcal{P}_E(L) \rightarrow L$ , but also a left adjoint  $g : \mathcal{P}_E(L) \rightarrow L$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(I) &= \sup \{a \mid c(a) \subseteq I\} & (I \in \mathcal{P}_E(L)) \\ &= \sup \{a \mid x \sqsubset a \implies x \in I\} \\ &= \sup \{a \mid I = c(a)\} \end{aligned}$$