SEMINAR ON CONTINUITY IN SEMILATTICES (SCS)

 DATE
 M
 D
 Y

 NAME(S) Mislove
 8
 18
 76

TOPIC SCS Memo of Lawson dated 7-12-76

REFERENCE Ditto

In the above mentioned memo, Lawson defines the subset L(S) for a compact semilattice S to be those points of S where S has small semilattices. He shows that L(S) is closed under arbitrary sups, and that, for all $s \in S$, we have $s \in L(S)$ iff $s = \sup \dots \dots \dots \dots$. The question is then raised as to whether $L(S) \in \underline{CL}$. The following example shows that such need not be the case:

Step 1. Let R \in CS such that, for all subsemilattices S of R, int S $\neq \emptyset$ implies that 0 € S (such examples exist; see, e.g., Lawson, "Lattices with no interval homomorphisms" Pac. Jour. 32, 459-465). We let R' = IN x R in the lexicographic order, where IN has its natural total order. Then, in the product topology, R' is a locally compact semilattice. Moreover, any compact subset of R' intersects at most finitely many of the sets $\{n\}$ x R. Hence, if $R'' = R' \cup \{1\}$ is the one-point compactification of R', it follows that the sets of the form $\Lambda(n,0)$ form a neighborhood basis for the topology at 1. Thus, if we let 1 act as an identity for R", we have that R" is a compact semilattice. It is readily verified that $Q = \{((n,0),(N+1,1)) : N \in IN\} \cup \Delta(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is a}$ closed congruence on R", so that T = R''/Q is a compact semilattice with identity. In "picturesque" language, T is a stack of countably many copies of R with an identity at the top. We wish to determine L(T). Clearly $0 \in L(T)$, and since the sets of the form \uparrow (n,0) form a neighborhood basis at 1 in R", the sets \uparrow \lceil n,0 \rceil form a neighborhood basis at 1 in T (where [n,0] denotes the Q-class of (n,0) in T), and so we conclude that $l \in L(T)$ also. Now, let $r \in R$, and consider [n,r]. If U is any semilattice neighborhood of [n,r] in T, then $U \cap (\{n\} \times R)$ is a semilattice neighborhood of [n,r] in the subsemilattice $[n] \times R$, which is isomorphic to R. Hence, $[n,0] \in U$ by the defining property of R. Furthermore, if n > 0, then [n,0] = [n-1,1], and the same argument as that just given for [n,r] shows that any semilattice neighborhood of [n,0] must also contain [n-1,0]. From these two facts we conclude that, for any n>0, any semilattice neighborhood of [n,r] must also contain [0,0], the zero of T. Thus, $L(T) = \{0,1\}$.

West Germany:

TH Darmstadt (Gierz, Keimel)

U. Tübingen (Mislove, Visit.)

England:

U. Oxford (Scott)

USA:

U. California, Riverside (Stralka)

LSU Baton Rouge (Lawson)

Tulane U., New Orleans (Hofmann, Mislove)
U. Tennessee, Knoxville (Carruth, Crawley)

Step 2. The semilattice in which we are interested is a subsemilattice of T x T. Let $S = \bigcup_{n} \left(\left(\left[[n,0],[m,r] \right) : n \le m \in IN \right] \cup \left(\left[[m,r],[n,0] \right) : n \le m \in IN \right] \right) \cup \Delta(T)$ $= \bigcup_{n} \left(\left(p_{1}^{-1}([n,0]) \cap \Lambda([n,0],[n,0]) \cup \left(p_{2}^{-1}([n,0]) \cap \Lambda([n,0],[n,0]) \right) \cup \Delta(T) \right) \right)$

where p_i : T x T — T is the projection on the ith factor. Then, from the second definition, it is clear that S is a countable union of closed subsemilattices of T x T. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all but finitely many of these subsemilattices are contained in $\Lambda([n,0],[n,0])$, and these upper sets form a neighborhood basis at (1,1). It then follows that S is a closed subset of T x T. To see that S is a subsemilattice, we choose $s,s' \in S$, and we assume that s = ([n,0],[m,r]) and s' = ([k,t],[j,0]) with $n \le m$ and $j \le k$. Either $j \le n$ or $n \le j$, and we assume the former. Then, $j \le n \le \pi$, so that [m,r][j,0] = [j,0]. Also, $j \le k,n$ implies that $[j,0] \le [n,0][k,r]$. Hence, ss' = ([i,0],[j,0]) with $j \le \inf k,n = i$, so that $ss' \in S$ in this case. Similar arguments take care of the other possibilities, so that S is indeed a subsemilattice of T x T. Hence, $S \in GS$, and we want to determine L(S). First we give a picture of S:

 (c_{n}, o_{1}) (c_{n}, o_{2})

Now, clearly $(0,0) \in L(S)$, and since $(1,1) = \sup_{n} ([n,0],[n,0])$ and $([n,0],[n,0]) < < \sum_{n} (1,1)$ (this is not true in T x T!) for each $n \in IN$, we have that $(1,1) \in L(S)$ also.

Now, if $n \in IN$, then it follows that ([n,0],[n,0]) < < ([n,0],1), and so ([n,0],[n,0]) < < ([n,0],1), and so ([n,0],[n,0]) < < ([n,0],1), so that $([n,0],1) = \sup_{n \in IN} ([n,0],1)$, so that $([n,0],1) = \sup_{n \in IN} ([n,0],1)$, so that $([n,0],1) = \sup_{n \in IN} ([n,0],1)$, so that the only possible points of L(S) in this subsemilattice are ([n,0],1) and ([n,0],0). We have already seen that $([n,0],1) \in L(S)$, and since $([n,0],0) = ([n,0],[n,0]) \in \Delta(T)$, and $\Delta(T)$ is also isomorphic to T, we conclude that $([n,0],0) \in L(S)$ implies that n = 0. A similar argument works for the points of the form ([m,r],[n,0]) with $n \in IN$, and we conclude that $L(S) = \{([n,0],1),(1,[n,0]) : n \in IN\} \cup \{(1,1),(0,0)\}$. Fix $m \in IN$.

Then, for all $n \in IN$, ([n,0],1)(1,[m,0]) = (0,0) (this product is in L(S)). Hence,

Question: Let $S \in CS$, and suppose that $L(S) \in CS$. Is then $L(S) \in CL$?

 $\mathtt{L}(\mathtt{S})$ cannot have a compact semilattice topology.

since $(1,1) = \sup ([n,0],1)$, it follows that L(S) is not lower continuous, and so