

NAME: Hans Dobbertin

Date:

M	D	Y
11	12	82

TOPIC: Distributive semilattices

REFERENCES:

- [C] Compendium.
 [D1] H. Dobbertin, On Vaught's criterion for isomorphisms of countable Boolean algebras, Algebra Univ. 15 (1982), in press.
 [D2] H. Dobbertin, Verfeinerungsmonoid, Vaught Monoid und Boolesche Algebren, Dissertation, Universität Hannover, 1982.
 [G] G. Grätzer, Lattice Theory, Birkhäuser, 1978.
 [K] J. Ketonen, The structure of countable Boolean algebras, Ann. of Math. 108 (1978), 41 - 89.
 [M] D. Myers, Structures and measures on Boolean algebras, unpublished manuscript.

The study of the monoid, under direct sums, of all isomorphism types of countable Boolean algebras has led to the notion of a refinement monoid $[M, D1]$, cf. [K]:

A commutative monoid $M = (M, +, 0)$ is called a refinement monoid provided that

(RM1) $x + y = 0$ only for $x = y = 0$ ($x, y \in M$),

(RM2) M has the refinement property, that is, whenever $L x_1 = L y_1$

for $x_1, y_1 \in M$ ($l < n, j < m$) then there are $z_{1j} \in M$

with $x_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n z_{1j}$ and $y_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m z_{1j}$.

A homomorphism $h : M \rightarrow N$ between commutative monoids is said to be a V-homomorphism if $h(x) = y_1 + y_2$ ($x \in M, y_1, y_2 \in N$) implies $x = x_1 + x_2$ and $h(x_1) = y_1$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in M$, and $h(x) = 0$ only for $x = 0$ ($x \in M$). Observe that a V-homomorphic image of a refinement monoid is again a refinement monoid.

PROPOSITION 1. A semilattice $L = (L, +, 0)$ with zero is distributive (in the sense of [G; p. 99]) iff L is a refinement monoid.

It is well-known that the category of distributive semilattices with zero and homomorphisms having the property that pre-images of prime filters are always prime filters is dually equivalent to the category of Stone spaces (sober T_0 -spaces having a base of compact sets) and strongly continuous mappings (pre-images of compact-open sets are compact-open); see [G; II.5].

Let DSL be the category of distributive semilattices with zero and V-homomorphisms. We want to supply the category STS of Stone spaces with suitable morphisms so that DSL and STS become equi-

valent categories: First, let us call a subset U of a space X almost open if there is a smallest open set, say \bar{U} , containing U , and U is a strict subset of \bar{U} (i. e., the inclusion map from U into \bar{U} is strict in the sense of [C; V.5.8]). Note that, for instance, every space is almost open in its sobrification. Of course, open sets are almost open. Now suppose that X and Y are Stone spaces, then $\text{mor}(X, Y)$ consists of all continuous functions from X into Y mapping open sets onto almost open sets. Thus, all continuous-open mappings are morphisms in STS . Probably, the converse is false. However, I have no counterexample.

PROPOSITION 2. DSL and STS are equivalent categories.

Proof. Let $L_1, L_2 \in \text{obj}(DSL)$ and $h \in \text{mor}(L_1, L_2)$. Then the associated mapping $f_h : X(L_1) \rightarrow X(L_2)$ between the prime filter spaces is given by setting $f_h(p) = \text{th}(p)$. Conversely, if $X_1, X_2 \in \text{obj}(STS)$ and $f \in \text{mor}(X_1, X_2)$ then $h_f : L(X_1) \rightarrow L(X_2)$ is defined by $h_f(C) = f(C)$, where $L(X)$ denotes the semilattice of compact-open subsets of a Stone space X . It is not difficult to show that in fact $f_h \in \text{mor}(X(L_1), X(L_2))$ and $h_f \in \text{mor}(L(X_1), L(X_2))$. The remainder of the proof is similar as in the case of the previously mentioned duality.

Question A. Is every distributive semilattice L with zero a V-homomorphic image of some generalized Boolean lattice? (Note that the converse is obvious.)

In [D2] it has been shown that the answer is positive when L is a lattice or has not more than \aleph_1 many elements. Moreover, if it will turn out that the morphisms of STS are not necessarily continuous-open then the following question arises:

Question B. Is every Stone space X the image of a locally compact, zero-dimensional Hausdorff space under a continuous-open mapping?

At present, I only have an affirmative result when X is first countable and in addition $L(X)$ is a lattice or $|L(X)| \leq \aleph_1$.