NAME: Hans Dobbertin

Date:
M	D	Y
11	18	82

TOPIC: Distributive semilattices, Heyting algebras and $\vee$-homomorphisms

REFERENCES:
[C] Compendium.
[D1] H. Dobbertin, On Vaught's criterion for isomorphisms of countable Boolean algebras, Algebra Univ. 15 (1982), in press.
[D2] H. Dobbertin, Verfeinerungsmonoide, Vaught Monoide und Boolesche Algebren, Dissertation, Universität Hannover, 1982.
[G] G. Grätzer, Lattice Theory: First Concepts and Distributive Lattices, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1971.
[K] J. Ketonen, The structure of countable Boolean algebras, Ann. of Math. 108 (1978), 41 - 89.
[M] D. Myers, Structures and measures on Boolean algebras, unpublished manuscript.

The study of the monoid, under direct sums, of all isomorphism types of countable Boolean algebras has led to the notion of a refinement monoid [M, D1], cf. [K]:

A commutative monoid $M = (M;+,0)$ is called a refinement monoid provided that

(RM1) $x + y = 0$ only for $x = y = 0$ $(x, y \in M)$,

(RM2) M has the refinement property, that is, whenever $\Sigma x_i = \Sigma y_j$ for $x_i, y_j \in M$ $(i < n, j < m)$ then there are $z_{ij} \in M$ with $x_i = \Sigma_j z_{ij}$ and $y_j = \Sigma_i z_{ij}$.

A homomorphism $h : M \longrightarrow N$ between commutative monoids is said to be a $\vee$-homomorphism if $h(x) = y_1 + y_2$ $(x \in M,\ y_1, y_2 \in N)$ implies $x = x_1 + x_2$ and $h(x_i) = y_i$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in M$, and $h(x) = 0$ only for $x = 0$ $(x \in M)$. Observe that a $\vee$-homomorphic image of a refinement monoid is again a refinement monoid.

PROPOSITION 1. A semilattice $L = (L;+,0)$ with zero is distributive (in the sense of [G; p. 117]) iff L is a refinement monoid.

It is well-known that the category of distributive semilattices with zero and homomorphisms having the property that pre-images of prime filters are always prime filters is dually equivalent to the category of Stone spaces (sober T_0-spaces having a base of compact sets) and strongly continuous mappings (pre-images of compact-open sets are compact-open); see [G; 2.11].

Let $\mathcal{DSL}$ be the category of distributive semilattices with zero and $\vee$-homomorphisms. We want to supply the category $\mathcal{STS}$ of Stone

spaces with suitable morphisms so that $\mathcal{STS}$ and $\mathcal{DSL}$ become equivalent categories. First let us call a subset U of a space X almost-open if there is a smallest open set, say $\tilde{U}$, containing U, and U is a strict subset of $\tilde{U}$ (i. e., the inclusion map from U into $\tilde{U}$ is strict [C; V.5.8]). Note that, for instance, every space is almost-open in its sobrification. Of course, open sets are almost-open. Now suppose that X and Y are Stone spaces, then $\mathrm{mor}(X,Y)$ consists of all continuous functions from X into Y mapping open sets (or equivalently, almost-open sets) onto almost-open sets. Thus all continuous-open mappings are morphisms of $\mathcal{STS}$. Probably, the converse is false. However, I have no counterexample.

We call a mapping $h : L \longrightarrow K$ between complete lattices a strong $\vee$-homomorphism if h is Sup-preserving, $h(x) = \mathrm{Sup}_{i \in I}\, y_i$ always implies $x = \mathrm{Sup}_{i \in I}\, x_i$ and $h(x_i) = y_i$ for some elements x_i, and $h(x) = 0$ only for $x = 0$.

LEMMA 2. Let H_1 and H_2 be complete Heyting algebras, and suppose that $g : H_1 \longrightarrow H_2$ and $h : H_2 \longrightarrow H_1$ form an adjunction [C; p. 18]. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) g preserves Sups, Infs and $\Rightarrow$,

(ii) h is a strong $\vee$-homomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{AHA}_0$ (resp. $\mathcal{AHA}_1$) be the category of algebraic complete Heyting algebras and strong $\vee$-homomorphisms (resp. $\Rightarrow$-preserving, complete homomorphisms).

PROPOSITION 3. The categories $\mathcal{STS}$, $\mathcal{DSL}$, $\mathcal{AHA}_0$, $\mathcal{AHA}_1^{op}$ are equivalent.

Of course, the emphasis in Proposition 3 lies on the morphisms; on the object level this is well-known.

THEOREM 4. [D2] Let L be a distributive semilattice with zero. If L is a lattice or $|L| \leq \aleph_1$ then L is a $\vee$-homomorphic image of some generalized Boolean lattice.

Question A. Does Theorem 4 hold for all L?

A "dual version" of Thm. 4 is the following: Let H be an algebraic complete Heyting algebra such that the set $K(H)$ of compact elements of H is a lattice or $|K(H)| \le \aleph_1$, then H is embeddable into the ideal lattice $Id(B)$ of some generalized Boolean algebra B under a mapping preserving Sups, Infs and $\Rightarrow$. As a consequence, every Heyting algebra can be embedded into $Id(B)$ for some Boolean algebra B (under a mapping preserving sups, infs and $\Rightarrow$). A similar result for distributive pseudo-complemented lattices has been shown by Lakser (see [G; p. 180]).

Question B. Is every Stone space X the image of a locally compact zero-dimensional Hausdorff space under a continuous-open mapping?

It is not difficult to see that if X is first-countable then, for all Stone spaces Y, $mor(Y,X)$ consists only of continuous-open mappings. Thus, in this case, it follows from Thm. 4 that Question B has an affirmative answer provided that the set $L(X)$ of compact-open subsets of X is closed under finite intersections or $|L(X)| \le \aleph_1$.

etwas erweiterte Fassung des "Memos" vom 12.11.82

Gruß

HD