

Amalgamation and Local-To-Global in the Finite with Suitable Groupoids

Martin Otto
TU Darmstadt

Mülheim 2016

Global Finite Realisations of Local Specifications

a generic amalgamation construction

given families

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{A}_s)_{s \in S} \quad \text{of relational structures} \\ (\rho_e : \mathcal{A}_s \xrightarrow{\text{part}} \mathcal{A}_{s'})_{e \in E[s, s']} \quad \text{of partial isomorphisms} \end{array} \right\} (*)$$

- use the free monoidal structure I^* of walks in the multigraph $I = (S, E)$, with generators $e \in E := \bigcup_{s, s' \in S} E[s, s']$
- construct a natural free amalgam of disjoint copies $(\mathcal{A}_s, w) \simeq \mathcal{A}_s$ tagged by walks w terminating in s with identifications between (\mathcal{A}_s, w) and $(\mathcal{A}_{s'}, w \cdot e)$ according to ρ_e

obtain structure $((\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes I^*) / \approx$

“realising” the overlap pattern specified in $(*)$,
“free” in a universal algebraic sense & typically infinite

finite realisations? to be based on ...?

↪ the infinite structure of I^* (walks in the multigraph (S, E)):
a multi-sorted monoid w.r.t. partial concatenation of walks

NB: can only concatenate $\underbrace{(\text{walks to } s) \times (\text{walks from } s)}_{\text{partiality}}$, for $s \in S$

analogies:

- I^* vs. free monoid E^* generated by E
- partial vs. global operations (and symmetries)
- groupoids (or inverse semigroups) vs. groups

realisation of $((\mathcal{A}_s), (\rho_e))$ in general:

a relational structure \mathcal{A} with an “atlas” given by superimposed hypergraph structure (A, \tilde{S}) , $\tilde{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$, with “charts” $\pi_{\tilde{s}}: \mathcal{A} \upharpoonright \tilde{s} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\pi(\tilde{s})}$ s.t.

- **locally, all ρ_e -overlaps are realised:**

each $\pi_{\tilde{s}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ overlaps with some $\pi_{\tilde{s}'}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{s'})$ according to ρ_e

- **globally, no incidental overlaps occur:**

if $\tilde{s} \cap \tilde{t} \neq \emptyset$, then this is due to $\rho_w = \rho_{e_m} \circ \dots \circ \rho_{e_1}$
for some *single* walk $w = e_1 \dots e_m$ from $\pi(\tilde{s})$ to $\pi(\tilde{t})$

NB: the second, “no-nonsense” condition avoids potential relational inconsistencies for amalgams

(trivial yet instructive) example

every hypergraph (A, S) realises its **exploded view** based on disjoint \emptyset -structures $((s \times \{s\})_{s \in S})$ and ρ_e for $e = (s, s')$ representing non-empty intersections $s \cap s'$ in (A, S)

this realisation is obtained from disjoint sum of tagged copies of the \mathcal{A}_s as quotient w.r.t. \approx induced by the ρ_e

\rightsquigarrow cannot work in general, but compare
generic (infinite) free construction $((\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{I}^*) / \approx$

\rightsquigarrow use product with suitable groupoids \mathbb{G} for “local unfolding”
to overcome obstructions in the finite, and more ...

$$((\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{G}) / \approx$$

groupoids (algebraic format)

to deal with $((\mathcal{A}_s), (\rho_e))$ with “incidence pattern” $I = (S, E)$, use:

I-groupoids $\mathbb{G} = ((\mathbf{G}_{st}), \cdot, (\mathbf{1}_s), {}^{-1})$:

generated by the $e \in E$,

with partial composition $\mathbf{G}_{st} \times \mathbf{G}_{tu} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{su}$,


inverses, and neutrals $\mathbf{1}_s \in \mathbf{G}_{ss}$

\rightsquigarrow suitable for natural reduced products $((\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{G}) / \approx$


NB: can also view groupoid as category with bijective morphisms

obstructions

- simple (& overcome by pre-processing):
conflicting ρ_{e_1}, ρ_{e_2} for $e_1, e_2 \in E[s, s']$
- substantial (& pointing to non-trivial acyclicity requirements):
non-confluent ρ_{w_1}, ρ_{w_2} for $w_1, w_2 \in I^*[s, t]$
violating “no-nonsense” condition



$$w_1^G = h = w_2^G$$




suitable groupoids: coset acyclicity

theorem 1

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and incidence pattern $I = (S, E)$ there are finite I -groupoids \mathbb{G} without *coset cycles* of length up to N

3 steps in a coset cycle:



idea: in an inductive construction generate \mathbb{G} from (semi)group action on amalgamation chains that unfold short cosets cycles

cf. constructions of acyclic Cayley graphs (Alon, Biggs)
here lifted to more intricate adaptation for coset cycles
→ O_10 (JACM 13) for groups

any degree of acyclicity in symmetric realisations

theorem 2

for any overlap specification $((\mathcal{A}_s), (\rho_e))$, obtain realisations of the form $((\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{G}) / \approx$ for suitable finite groupoids \mathbb{G} , that

- have any desired degree of (local/size-bdd) acyclicity
- admit transitive automorphisms in the second factor
- respect all symmetries of the specification $((\mathcal{A}_s), (\rho_e))$


symmetric realisations

EPPA: from local to global symmetries

extension property for partial automorphisms (EPPA):
how to extend local symmetries to global symmetries

theorem (Herwig 98, extending Hrushovski 92 for graphs)

every finite relational structure \mathcal{A} admits a finite extension $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$
s.t. every partial isomorphism in \mathcal{A} lifts to a full automorphism of \mathcal{B}



theorem (Herwig–Lascar 00)

same, as a *finite model property* over any class \mathcal{C}
defined by finitely many forbidden homomorphisms

new proof of Herwig–Lascar EPPA


through groupoidal realisations of an overlap specification for $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$ and $P \subseteq \text{Part}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$

(i) **the incidence pattern $I(\mathcal{A}, P)$:**

multigraph on singleton vertex
with a loop $e_p \in E$ for each $p \in P$



(ii) **the overlap specification $((\mathcal{A}), (\rho_p))$:**
after pre-processing, $((\mathcal{A}_s), (\rho_e))$
turns non-trivially groupoidal (!)



(iii) **symmetric realisations of $((\mathcal{A}), (\rho_p))$
are EPPA extensions**

(iv) **N -acyclic EPPA extensions are N -free:**


admit N -local homomorphisms into every (finite or infinite)
EPPA extension due to their N -local tree-decomposability

the EPPA extensions we get for (\mathcal{A}, P) :

$$\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$$

with superimposed hypergraph structure (B, S) , $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(B)$,
and projections $(\pi_s)_{s \in S}$ such that:

- $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{s \in S} \mathcal{A}_s$ where $\mathcal{A}_s := \mathcal{B} \upharpoonright s$
- $(\pi_s: \mathcal{A}_s \simeq \mathcal{A})_{s \in S}$ an “atlas” for \mathcal{B}
- overlaps between “charts” \mathcal{A}_s and \mathcal{A}_t
induced by compositions $w \in P^*$



- up to any desired threshold N ,
each $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_{s_i} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is a free amalgam (and acyclic)

further applications

applying theorem 2 (finite realisations of any degree of acyclicity) to overlap specification of a given hypergraph (its exploded view):

corollary

every finite hypergraph admits, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, finite coverings that

- are N -acyclic in the sense that every induced sub-hypergraph on up to N vertices is acyclic (tree decomposable)
- possess a fibre-transitive automorphism group that lifts all symmetries of the given hypergraph

with further applications in guarded logics:

- **expressive completeness results**
classical & in finite model theory
- **finite model properties**
also linked to Herwig–Lascar EPPA

some related references

Bárány–Gottlob–O_(LMCS 2014, arXiv:1309.5822): Querying the guarded fragment

Bárány–ten Cate–O_(VLDB 2012, arXiv:1203.0077): Queries with guarded negation

Grädel–O_(2014): The freedoms of (guarded) bisimulation

Hodkinson–O_(BSL 2003): Finite conformal hypergraph covers and Gaifman cliques in finite structures

Herwig–Lascar(Transactions of the AMS 2000): Extending partial isomorphisms and the profinite topology on free groups

O_(Journal of the ACM 2012): Highly acyclic groups, hypergraph covers and the guarded fragment

O_(arXiv:1404.4599, 2015): Finite groupoids, finite coverings and symmetries in finite structures