Simplicial Sets within Cubical Sets

Thomas Streicher TU Darmstadt

PPS, 27. September 2018

Streicher Simplicial Sets within Cubical Sets

- 17

< 3 > <

HoTT is **Intensional Type Theory** together with Voevodsky's **Univalence Axiom** (UA) and **Higher Inductive Types** (HITs).

Let us recall what UA says. This requires a few definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{iscontr}(X : \operatorname{Set}) &= (\Sigma x : X)(\Pi y : X) \operatorname{Id}_X(x, y) \\ \operatorname{hfiber}(X, Y : \operatorname{Set})(f : X \to Y)(y : Y) &= \\ &= (\Sigma x : X) \operatorname{Id}_Y(f(x), y) \\ \operatorname{isweq}(X, Y : \operatorname{Set})(f : X \to Y) &= \\ &= (\Pi y : Y) \operatorname{iscontr}(\operatorname{hfiber}(X, Y, f, y)) \\ \operatorname{Weq}(X, Y : \operatorname{Set}) &= (\Sigma f : X \to Y) \operatorname{isweq}(X, Y, f) \end{aligned}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Using the eliminator J for identity types one easily constructs a map

```
\operatorname{eqweq}(X, Y : \operatorname{Set}) : \operatorname{Id}_{\operatorname{Set}}(X, Y) \to \operatorname{Weq}(X, Y)
```

Then the Univalence Axiom

UA : $(\Pi X, Y : Set)$ isweq(eqweq(X, Y))

postulates that all maps eqweq(X, Y) are weak equivalences.

It has been shown that **simplicial sets** provide a model of HoTT interpreting types as Kan complexes.

But UA as it is **lacks computational meaning**: what should be rewrite rules for the constant UA?

T. Coquand et.al. [CCHM] have developed a *Cubical Type Theory* with computational meaning and in which one can **derive** UA.

< E > < E > …

Bezem and Coquand have shown that the theory of simplicial sets is **not constructive**, e.g. one cannot show constructively that Kan complexes are closed under exponentiation!

This limitation, however, can be overcome when working in **cubical sets**.

The crucial property is that representable objects are closed under finite products. In **sSet** the interval \mathbb{I} is representable but $\mathbb{I}\times\mathbb{I}$ is not!

The site of **sSet** is Δ , the full subcategory of **Poset** on finite non-empty linear posets.

The site of **cSet** is \Box , the full subcategory of **Poset** of finite powers of **2**.

Splitting idempotents in \Box gives rise to **FL**, the full subcategory of **Poset** on finite lattices.

(4月) イヨト イヨト

Notice that \Box is op-equivalent to the category of *free finitely* generated distributive lattices. Coquand et.al. – just for convenience – used the opposite of *free finitely* generated de Morgan algebras.

Let $i : \Delta \to \mathbf{FL}$ be the inclusion functor. The restriction functor i^* from $\mathbf{cSet} = \widehat{\mathbf{FL}}$ to $\mathbf{sSet} = \widehat{\Delta}$ has left and right adjoints $i_!$ and i_* , respectively. Since the restriction of the nerve functor Nv to \mathbf{FL} is given by $i^* \circ Y_{\mathbf{FL}}$ we have

 $i_*(X)(L) \cong \mathbf{cSet}(\mathsf{Y}(L), i_*(X)) \cong \mathbf{sSet}(i^*\mathsf{Y}(L)), X) \cong \mathbf{sSet}(\mathsf{Nv}(L), X)$

from which it follows that i_* is full and faithful (and thus also $i_!$). Since i^* has a left adjoint $i_!$ (given by left Kan extension of $Y_{FL} \circ i$ along Y_{Δ}) it preserves (finite) limits and thus $i^* \dashv i_*$ is an **injective geometric morphism**.

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

A sieve $S \subseteq Y_{FL}(L)$ covers L iff $i^*S = i^*Y_{FL}(L) = Nv(L)$ iff S contains all chains in L, i.e. all monotone maps $[n] \rightarrow L$.

The corresponding closure operator $j : \Omega \to \Omega$ sends $S \subseteq Y_{FL}(L)$ to all $u : K \to L$ such that $uc \in S$ for all chains $c : [n] \to K$.

For Cisinski model structures on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ its class of **cofibrations** consist of all monos.

Its class of trivial fibrations consists of maps weakly right orthogonal to all monos.

A **naive fibration** is a map weakly right orthogonal to **all cylinders**, i.e. monos of the form

$$({\varepsilon} \times X) \cup (\mathbb{I} \times Y) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I} \times X$$

where $Y \subseteq X$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\} \subseteq \mathbb{I} = Y(2)$.

The **trivial cofibrations** are the maps weakly left orthogonal to all naive fibrations.

On **sSet** this construction gives the classical model structure and on **cSet** the one employed by Coquand et.al. in [CCHM].

Since i^* preserves I and finite limits and it retracts monos of **cSet** onto monos of **sSet** it follows that i^* retracts cylinders in **sSet** onto cylinders in **sSet**. Thus *i* is a fibration in **sSet**.

Thus i_*p is a fibration in **cSet** iff p is a fibration in **sSet**. Accordingly, we have $\mathcal{F}_{sSet} = sSet \cap \mathcal{F}_{cSet}$.

Thus $i^* \dashv i_*$ is a Quillen pair.

We don't know whether it is a Quillen equivalence. But presumably not! **Theorem** If A and B are fibrant in **sSet**, i.e. Kan complexes, a map $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a weak equivalence in **sSet** iff it is a weak equivalence in **cSet**.

Proof.

One can show that $i_*(\text{hfiber}(f)) \simeq \text{hfiber}(i_*f)$ and thus $\forall m \in \text{Mono}(\mathbf{cSet})(m \perp \text{hfiber}(i_*f))$ iff $\forall m \in \text{Mono}(\mathbf{cSet})(m \perp i_*(\text{hfiber}(f)))$ iff $\forall m \in \text{Mono}(\mathbf{cSet})(i^*m \perp \text{hfiber}(f))$ iff¹ $\forall m \in \text{Mono}(\mathbf{sSet})(m \perp \text{hfiber}(f))$ i.e.² i_*f is a weak equivalence in **cSet** iff f is a weak equivalence in **sSet**.

¹since the monos in **sSet** are precisely the sheafifications of monos in **cSet** ²as shown by Voevodsky for fibrant objects A and B a map $w : A \to B$ is a weak equivalence iff hfiber(w) is a trivial cofibrations, i.e. $m \perp$ hfiber(w) for all monos m In both cSet and sSet we may construct universes

 $\pi_c: E_c \rightarrow U_c \text{ and } \pi_s: E_s \rightarrow U_s$

à la Yoneda where U(I) consists of all small fibrations over Y(I). In both cases one could show the universe to be fibrant and univalent!

However, in case of **sSet** this requires heavy choice (due to use of minimal fibrations!) but not so for **cSet**.

If i^* preserved fibrations, i.e. $i_!$ preserved cylinders, then $i^*\pi_c$ were a universe generic for small Kan fibrations.

Composing this with the map sending a small fibration over $Y_{\Box}([n])$ to its sheafification which is a small fibration over $Y_{\Delta}([n])$ we would obtain a univalent universe equivalent to π_s .

Since both i_1 and i^* are cocontinuous and i_1 is full and faithful the full embedding i_1 preserves and reflects colimits. Thus **sSet** is a full subcategory of **cSet** closed under colimits (taken in **cSet**).

By a well known theorem $i_!$ preserves finite limits iff FL(L, i(-)) is flat for all $L \in FL$ which, however, is not the case: let $L = [1] \times [1]$ then there are (precisely two) 1-1 maps $f, g : [1] \times [1] \rightarrow [3]$ not fitting into a diagram of the form



御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

Staying within **cSet** avoids the problem!

Cut U_c down to the subuniverse U_{cs} consisting at stage $L \in \mathbf{FL}$ of all $A \in U(L)$ such that $a : El(A) \to Y(L)$ is a family of sheaves, i.e.



or equivalently *a* is strictly right orthogonal to all subobjects of representables which are dense, i.e. inverted by i^* . This universe U_{cs} classifies small families of sheaves which are fibrations in **cSet**. If the indexing object is a sheaf such families coincide with images of fibrations under i_* .

- We have shown that simplicial sets form a(n essential) subtopos of cubical sets.
- Moreover, sSet is a submodel of cSet since the inclusion *i*_{*} : sSet → cSet preserves Σ and Π and also the interval I and thus also identity types.
- Cutting down the universe U_c to families of sheaves weakly classifies (small) fibrations of sheaves.