

A “Geometric” View of Triposes

Thomas Streicher
TU Darmstadt

Leicester Xmas Seminar
15. December 2020

Often in semantics one builds a new model \mathcal{E} over a *ground model* \mathcal{S} as e.g. in topological semantics, realizability, topos theory...
and there is a so-called *constant objects* (CO) functor

$$F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

describing how the ground model \mathcal{S} sits within the new model \mathcal{E} .
Typically this F faithfully represents the construction of \mathcal{E} from \mathcal{S} .

Iteration of constructions as composition of CO functors.

Via “Artin Glueing” we obtain a new model $\text{Gl}(F) = \mathcal{E} \downarrow F$ together with a logical functor

$$P_F = \partial_1 = \text{cod} : \mathcal{E} \downarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

which, therefore, is consistent with \mathcal{S} which often is **Set**!

Heyting (Boolean) Valued Sets

Let A be a complete Heyting (or boolean) algebra in a base topos \mathcal{S} then the topos $Sh_{\mathcal{S}}(A)$ of sheaves over A contains the base \mathcal{S} via $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ sending I to the “constant sheaf” with value I . Thinking of “ \mathcal{E} as A -valued sets” we have $F(I) = (I, eq_I)$ where $eq_I(i, j) = \bigvee \{1_A \mid i = j\}$.

The CO functor F preserves finite limits, has a right adjoint U and every $X \in \mathcal{E}$ appears as subquotient of some FI .

Such adjunctions $F \dashv U : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ are called “localic geometric morphisms” since the latter condition says that subobjects of $1_{\mathcal{E}}$ generate. Under these assumptions \mathcal{E} is equivalent to $Sh_{\mathcal{S}}(U\Omega_{\mathcal{E}})$

Since maps $I \rightarrow U\Omega_{\mathcal{E}}$ correspond to maps $FI \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{E}}$, i.e. subobjects of FI , the *externalization* of $U\Omega_{\mathcal{E}}$ is given by $F^*\text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (where $\text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the subobject fibration of \mathcal{E}).

The Moens-Jibladze Correspondence (1)

If $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a finite limit preserving functor between toposes we may consider the (Grothendieck) fibration P_F as in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} \downarrow F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{E} \\ P_F \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow P_{\mathcal{E}} \\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E} \end{array}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ (and thus also P_F) is the codomain functor. All fibers of P_F are toposes and all reindexing functors are logical (i.e. preserve finite limits, exponentials and subobject classifiers) and P_F has internal sums (i.e. P_F is a cofibration where cocartesian arrows are stable under pullbacks along cartesian arrows in \mathcal{E}).

The Moens-Jibladze Correspondence (2)

Such fibrations $P : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ are called *fibered toposes with internal sums*.

M. Jibladze has shown that internal sums are necessarily *stable and disjoint* from which it follows by Moens's Theorem that $P : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is equivalent to P_F where $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} = P(1)$ sends $u : J \rightarrow I$ to the unique vertical arrow Fu rendering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1_J & \xrightarrow[\text{cocart.}]{\varphi_J} & FJ \\ 1_u \downarrow & & \downarrow Fu \\ 1_I & \xrightarrow[\varphi_I]{\text{cocart.}} & FI \end{array}$$

commutative. Up to isomorphism this F is determined by P since it sends $I \in \mathcal{S}$ to $\coprod_I 1_I$.

Properties of P_F in terms of properties of F

Further fibrational properties of P_F can be reformulated as elementary properties of F as follows

- 1 P_F is locally small iff F has a right adjoint U
- 2 P_F has a small generating family iff there is a *bound* $B \in \mathcal{E}$,
i.e. every $X \in \mathcal{E}$ appears as subquotient of some $B \times FI$.

In particular, P_F is a localic topos fibered over \mathcal{S} iff P_F is locally small and $F \dashv U$ is bounded by $1_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Triposes as Generalized Localic Toposes (1)

A **tripos** over a base topos \mathcal{S} is a functor F from \mathcal{S} to a topos \mathcal{E} such that

- 1 F preserves finite limits and
- 2 every $A \in \mathcal{E}$ appears as subquotient of FI for some $I \in \mathcal{S}$.

A tripos $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is **regular** iff F preserves also epis (which trivially holds if \mathcal{S} is **Set** since there all epis are split!).

A tripos $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is **traditional** iff there is a subobject $\tau : T \rightarrow F\Sigma$ such that every mono $m : P \rightarrow FI$ fits into a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & T \\ m \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \tau \\ FI & \xrightarrow{Fp} & F\Sigma \end{array}$$

for some (typically not unique) $p : I \rightarrow \Sigma$.

Tripases as Generalized Localic Toposes (2)

With every traditional tripos $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ one can associate the fibered poset $\mathcal{P}_F = F^* \text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}}$ validating the conditions

- 1 \mathcal{P}_F is a fibration of pre-Heyting-algebras
- 2 for every u in the base the reindexing map $u^* = \mathcal{P}_F(u)$ has both adjoints $\exists_u \dashv u^* \dashv \forall_u$ (as a map of preorders) validating the (Beck-)Chevalley condition¹
- 3 there is a generic $\tau \in \mathcal{P}_F(\Sigma)$ such that every $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_F(I)$ is isomorphic to $p^* \tau$ for some $p : I \rightarrow \Sigma$.

¹i.e. we have $v^* \exists_u \dashv \exists_p q^*$ and $v^* \forall_u \dashv \forall_p q^*$ for all pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{q} & J \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ K & \xrightarrow{v} & I \end{array}$$

Triposes as Generalized Localic Toposes (3)

If F is just a tripos then the third condition has to be weakened as follows:

for every $I \in \mathcal{S}$ there is a $P(I)$ in \mathcal{S} and ε_I in $\mathcal{P}_F(I \times P(I))$ such that for every ρ in $\mathcal{P}_F(I \times J)$

$$(\text{Comp}) \quad \forall j \in J. \exists p \in P(I). \forall i \in I. \rho(i, j) \leftrightarrow i \varepsilon_I p$$

holds in the logic of \mathcal{P}_F .

This is the usual **comprehension principle** for HOL.

Its Skolemized (and thus stronger) version is equivalent to the existence of a generic subterminal $\tau : T \multimap F\Sigma$ (where Σ is $P(1)$).

But the logic of the tripos does not validate extensionality for predicates, i.e. p is not uniquely determined by j .

Tripases as Generalized Localic Toposes (4)

For triposes $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ the CO functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{P}_F]$ is isomorphic to F and a tripos \mathcal{P} is isomorphic to \mathcal{P}_F where F is the CO functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{P}]$ as shown in Andy Pitts's 1981 PhD Thesis *The Theory of Tripases* supervised by P. Johnstone at Cambridge Univ.

Here $\mathcal{S}[\mathcal{P}]$ is obtained from \mathcal{P} by “adding quotients” defining morphisms as functional relations.

The CO functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{P}]$ sends I to (I, eq_I) where $eq_I = \exists_{\delta_I} \top_I$ is the equality predicate on I .

Morphisms of Triposes (1)

If $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is the inverse image part of a localic geometric morphism then $H : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is the inverse image part of a localic geometric morphism if and only if HF is the inverse image part of a localic geometric morphism and H is the inverse image part of a geometric morphism.

Similarly, if $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a regular tripos then $H : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a regular tripos if and only if HF is a regular tripos and H is regular.

The backward implication holds also for general triposes but (presumably) not the forward implication. That's why regular triposes seem more appropriate.

Notice also that a tripos $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is regular iff $F^*\text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a pre-stack w.r.t. the regular cover topology on \mathcal{S} , i.e. reindexing along (regular) epis reflects truth (equivalently the peorder).

Morphisms of Triposes (2)

As shown by J. Frey (see our joint paper in MSCS special volume devoted to the memory of Martin Hofmann) it also holds that

If $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a regular traditional tripos then $H : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a regular traditional tripos if and only if HF is a regular traditional tripos and H is regular.

Uniqueness of Constant Objects Functors?

Are triposes $F_1, F_2 : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ necessarily equivalent?

The answer is in general NO if \mathcal{S} is not equal to **Set** since for sober (e.g. Hausdorff spaces) X and Y there are as many localic geometric morphism $\text{Sh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(X)$ as there are continuous maps from Y to X .

For all natural numbers $n > 0$ the functor

$$F_n : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} : I \mapsto I^n$$

is a tripos. But F_n and F_m are isomorphic iff $n = m$.

Alas, the question is open for traditional triposes over **Set** since in the above counterexample only F_1 is a traditional tripos.

Question even open for localic and realizability toposes!

Already in [HJP80] where triposes were introduced it was asked whether localic toposes $\text{Sh}(A)$ over **Set** may be induced by traditional triposes whose constant objects functor is not equivalent to $\Delta : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \text{Sh}(A)$.

Maybe we get such examples via classical realizability? Krivine's criterion (absence of "parallel or") for a realizability algebra only guarantees that the associated tripos is not localic but not that the induced topos is not localic (and could possibly even be equivalent to **Set**).

Also realizability toposes $\text{RT}(\mathcal{A})$ over **Set** could be induced by triposes whose constant objects functor is not equivalent to $\nabla : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \text{RT}(\mathcal{A})$.

Non-Localic Grothendieck Toposes from Tripases over **Set**

If \mathcal{E} is the topos of *reflexive graphs* $\mathbf{Set}^{\Delta_1^{\text{op}}}$ or the topos $\mathbf{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ of *simplicial sets* then $\nabla : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ (right adjoint to $\Gamma = \mathcal{E}(1, -)$) is a (regular) tripos which, however, is not traditional.

Every reflexive graph may be covered by a subobject of some $\nabla(S)$!

Possibly, this also holds for the topos of cubical sets $\mathbf{Set}^{\square^{\text{op}}}$ (where \square is the full subcat of **Poset** on finite powers of the ordinal 2)?

Neutral Models via Glueing

Together with P. Lietz I have shown that the extensional realizability topos **Ext** doesn't validate Ishihara's $\text{BD}_{\mathbb{N}}$. But **Ext** validates a negative form of Church's Thesis, namely

$$\forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}. \neg \neg \exists e : \mathbb{N}. f = \{e\}$$

and thus is not conservative over **Set**.

But for every finite limit preserving functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ between toposes the comma category $\mathcal{E} \downarrow F$ is a topos and the functor $P_F = \partial_1 = \text{cod} : \mathcal{E} \downarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is logical and has full and faithful left and right adjoints sending $I \in \mathcal{S}$ to $0 \rightarrow FI$ and id_{FI} , respectively. For triposes $F : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ the comma category $\mathcal{E} \downarrow F$ is a topos and $P_F = \text{cod} : \mathcal{E} \downarrow F \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is logical.

Thus $\mathcal{E} \downarrow F$ only validates sentences which hold in **Set** and thus is a **neutral** model of constructive mathematics.

Summary

- Ground models are typically not unique! (Since **Set** is induced by infinitely many non-equivalent triposes over **Set**).
- Question open for traditional triposes over **Set** even for localic and realizability toposes though there are canonical candidates Δ and ∇ , respectively. But are these the only possibilities?
- Triposes F over **Set** via “Artin Glueing” give rise to **neutral** models $\mathcal{E} \downarrow F$ since $P_F = \text{cod} : \mathcal{E} \downarrow F \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is logical.
- With a bit of luck $\mathcal{E} \downarrow F$ preserves some of the weaknesses of \mathcal{E} , e.g. doesn't validate FAN, $\text{BD}_{\mathbb{N}}$, etc.

Analogue of cHa's for traditional regular triposes

A. Miquel has introduced a notion of *implicative algebra* and shown that every such i.a. \mathcal{A} gives rise to a tripos $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$ over **Set** and every traditional tripos over **Set** arises this way as $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}[\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{A}}]$.

Alas, this does not extend to more general non-well-pointed base toposes \mathcal{S} since there need not exist a unique subobject S of Σ in \mathcal{S} which is a filter and $p : 1 \rightarrow \Sigma$ factors through S iff $(Fp)^*_{\tau}$ is an isomorphism.

Implicative Structures

An *implicative structure* is a complete lattice $\mathcal{A} = (A, \leq)$ together with an implication operation $\rightarrow: \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $y \rightarrow \bigwedge X = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (y \rightarrow x)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Thus $y \rightarrow (-)$ has a left adjoint $(-)_y$ given by

$$xy = \bigwedge \{z \mid x \leq y \rightarrow z\}$$

Then $K_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigwedge_{x, y \in \mathcal{A}} x \rightarrow y \rightarrow x$ and

$S_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigwedge_{x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}} (x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \rightarrow z$ are elements of \mathcal{A} for which we have

$$K_{\mathcal{A}}xy \leq x \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\mathcal{A}}xyz = xz(yz)$$

Implicative Algebras

A *separator* in an implicative structure $(\mathcal{A}, \rightarrow)$ is an upward closed subset \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{A} such that $K_{\mathcal{A}}, S_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathcal{S} is closed under *modus ponens*, i.e. $b \in \mathcal{S}$ whenever $a \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \rightarrow b \in \mathcal{S}$.

An *implicative algebra* is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \rightarrow, \mathcal{S})$ such that $(\mathcal{A}, \rightarrow)$ is an implicative structure and \mathcal{S} is a separator in $(\mathcal{A}, \rightarrow)$.

With every implicative algebra \mathcal{A} one associates a **Set**-based tripos $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$ where $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{A}}(I)$ is the preorder \vdash_I on \mathcal{A}^I defined as

$$\varphi \vdash_I \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \bigwedge_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \rightarrow \psi_i) \in \mathcal{S}$$

and reindexing is given by precomposition.